O5S3MEN1

```
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                             23 Cr. 490 (SHS)
                 V.
 5
      ROBERT MENENDEZ, et al.,
 6
                    Defendants.
                                              Trial
         -----x
7
8
                                              New York, N.Y.
                                              May 28, 2024
 9
                                              9:45 a.m.
     Before:
10
11
                          HON. SIDNEY H. STEIN,
12
                                              District Judge
13
                                              -and a Jury-
14
                                APPEARANCES
15
      DAMIAN WILLIAMS
          United States Attorney for the
           Southern District of New York
16
      BY: PAUL M. MONTELEONI
17
           DANIEL C. RICHENTHAL
           ELI J. MARK
18
           LARA E. POMERANTZ
           CATHERINE E. GHOSH
19
          Assistant United States Attorneys
           -and-
20
           CHRISTINA A. CLARK
           National Security Division
21
22
23
24
25
```

1081 O5S3MEN1 1 2 APPEARANCES CONTINUED 3 PAUL HASTINGS LLP 4 Attorneys for Defendant Menendez BY: ADAM FEE 5 AVI WEITZMAN RITA FISHMAN 6 7 GIBBONS, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Hana 8 BY: LAWRENCE S. LUSTBERG

ANNE M. COLLART CHRISTINA LaBRUNO RICARDO SOLANO, Jr. ANDREW J. MARINO ELENA CICOGNANI

JESSICA L. GUARRACINO

12

9

10

11

13 CESAR DE CASTRO SETH H. AGATA 14

SHANNON M. McMANUS

Attorneys for Defendant Daibes

15

16

Marwan Abdel-Raman, Interpreter (Arabic) 17 Rodina Mikhail, Interpreter (Arabic)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O5S3MEN1

(Trial resumed; jury not present)

THE COURT: One juror's missing. The juror spoke to my deputy a few moments ago. It's Juror No. 12. She went to the ER. She passed out last night, I presume that's when she went to the ER. She had stitches, probably can come in tomorrow.

I really hate to lose a juror this early in the trial, especially since -- the lawyers were in jury selection -- we have various number of jurors who have individual days where they have issues and they're trying to work them out, but there is no guarantee. So, you may want to talk to each other now, but what's the view of the parties? I have not spoken to Juror No. 12. I do see she lives in Manhattan.

Government?

MR. MARK: Do you want us to confer?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Counsel conferring)

THE COURT: I really hate to excuse a juror this early. I also hate to have yet another day off from trial.

It's been a week. And Juror No. 12 was not one of those jurors who had individual day issues.

(Pause)

THE COURT: What's the view of the parties?

MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, the government feels that we cannot lose a juror this early in the trial, especially one

without individual day issues as we keep going. This would become unmanageable.

MR. FEE: Noting your concern, we think it's appropriate to proceed without her.

THE COURT: Why?

MR. FEE: The trial is going at the pace it's going, your Honor. And we're concerned about losing an entire day.

Also, understanding it's early in the trial, the Court has seated a good number of alternates. And it appears from what I can observe that it is an attentive, responsible jury.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FEE: Even number 12 --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, did you say attentive, responsible jury or retentive, responsible juror?

MR. FEE: Attentive and responsible jury.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. FEE: In terms of trying to predict if we'll lose more. We think it's best to relieve Juror 12, continue today, and seat the first alternate, your Honor.

THE COURT: What I am going to do, as you know, I don't announce who is a juror and who is an alternate. You are asking that I excuse Juror No. 12.

MR. FEE: That's right.

THE COURT: Officially nobody's an alternate. They are all members of the jury.

With the permission of the parties, I will call her phone number and speak to her. I have the permission of the parties to do that?

MR. LUSTBERG: Yes, your Honor.

MR. FEE: Of course, your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll take a break.

(Recess)

THE COURT: I spoke to Juror No. 12. She's able to come in this afternoon. We'll start the trial at 1 p.m. I told her that we appreciated it very much. She'll be here at 1 p.m.

So we'll go from 1 to 5 and any day -- you may be seated in the courtroom. Any day that the parties feel they're able to go past 5, they should let me know. Actually, it is up to the jury. I'll let my deputy know she should tell the jurors any day they can go past 5, they should let the Court know. Although, as I told you previously, one or more jurors indicated that they had child care issues. We'll start today at 1 o'clock.

Are there any issues the parties wish me to take up?

MR. MARK: Your Honor, there are some issues related
to Jamela Maali.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, we need to tell the jurors something. Why don't I call them in, just have them line up here, and tell them that Juror No. 12 will be coming in late.

O5S3MEN1

No, I won't go into detail. That we're going to start at 1 due to the absence of a juror. It's important we have all jurors here. Bring the jury in.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, good morning, thank you for being here in a timely fashion. I hope after a week's rest everyone is bright eyed and bushy tailed ready to go.

Unfortunately, one of the jurors could not be here this morning. She's quite apologetic. It is important that you all stay together. So she is able to come in at 1 p.m. today. So we'll start the trial at 1 p.m. today.

You're free to go wherever you'd like, make calls, back to work or whatever you may want to do, and to please eat before 1. And be here, we'll start exactly at 1 o'clock. I really appreciate the fact that she's willing to come in at 1 o'clock.

Enjoy. It is a beautiful day. In fact, there is a patio we have on the eighth floor, outside of the cafeteria, vou'll see. It should be in the sun now.

I apologize for the delay. It is only going to be a slight delay. As I told you, it is important that everybody stay together here on this jury. Don't read anything about the case, don't talk to each other about it. I'll see you at 1 o'clock. Thank you.

O5S3MEN1

(Jury excused)

THE COURT: I'm told the patio is part of the cafeteria technically, so they can't go to the cafeteria. My deputy will tell them that. Please be seated.

What can I do for the parties?

MR. MARK: Your Honor, the first witness today is going to be recalled is Jamela Maali.

THE COURT: She was on direct, right?

MR. MARK: Yes. She is on direct. And we wanted to flag for your Honor a few different issues that related to Ms. Maali.

First is, just as we noted before, we may ask some leading questions with Ms. Maali, if necessary, given she's identified with an adverse party within the meaning of Rule 611(c)(2) because she's Fred Daibes' longtime executive assistant and had worked with Wael Hana and is still friendly with him.

Ms. Maali gave we believe some incomplete and unclear answers when she testified last week. If she gives evasive or unclear answers today, we may confront her with prior statements to the FBI with respect to them.

Second, we understand that Mr. Daibes' counsel and Mr. Hana's counsel on cross-examination intends to elicit from Ms. Maali specific instances of gift giving by either Mr. Daibes or Mr. Hana. Consistent with your Honor's prior

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ruling with Mierna Hanna, Wael Hana's executive assistant who was the witness before her, we believe such questions are outside of the scope of permissible cross-examination as your Honor sustained that objection. And we also believe this would be impermissible positive 404(b) evidence introduced to give propensity for gift giving and that's inappropriate. Third --THE COURT: Wait. In terms of Ms. Hanna. Was that ruling because it was beyond the scope of the direct? Yes. We raised scope as our objection. MR. MARK: Ιt is transcript 960. And we believe at a minimum we would object on scope here. THE COURT: Have you asked questions about gift giving? MR. MARK: We have not and we intend not to. Okay. THE COURT: Third is --MR. MARK: THE COURT: And the basis of my sustaining the objection, at least on the record, was scope I assume. Yes. That was all we raised specifically MR. MARK: at that point in time.

THE COURT: Are you raising something else now? 404(b) propensity.

MR. MARK: We did. We understood your Honor pretrial ruled to permit some character evidence, but not necessarily specific. But those two issues are quite different. Character

```
1
      versus specific instances.
 2
               THE COURT: Yes.
 3
                          Third is yesterday afternoon and late
               MR. MARK:
      evening the defense provided notice of multiple exhibits
 4
 5
      they've indicated they may offer with Jamela Maali.
 6
               THE COURT: I thought we had a three-day agreement.
7
               MR. MARK:
                          That's the reason we are raising this.
8
               THE COURT: I gave the defense some leeway last time.
 9
      I was told the agreement was three days in advance, whatever
10
      the parties agreed to, they agreed to. The defense said,
11
     basically, we'll do our best. I said, basically, do your best
12
     because I always like to give parties some leeway initially.
13
     But if you are giving your adversary instead of three day
14
      notice, when did these things come through?
               MR. MARK: We received six exhibits from Robert
15
16
      Menendez sent at 11:24 last night.
17
               THE COURT: 11 p.m.
18
               MR. MARK:
                          Yes.
19
               THE COURT: I'm glad the last submission to me was
20
      only about 8 o'clock, so that's progress.
21
               That's not acceptable, defense, in light of an
22
      agreement. Nobody disagrees that there was an agreement.
                                                                  Get
23
      the exhibits that are within the scope of your agreement,
```

whatever it is, three days in advance.

Mr. Weitzman.

24

25

MR. WEITZMAN: I wanted to clarify. I've informed the government we don't plan to offer four of those exhibits. Two of them were just in case we need to refresh recollection and we're letting them know about that. One was for identification.

So there are two new exhibits. And as we were formulating our examination yesterday, we decided to add them to the outline. So I apologize.

THE COURT: We boiled it down to two. But nonetheless, the agreement apparently doesn't even permit those two. We'll let it come in this time, assuming it is admissible.

MR. MARK: Your Honor, we want to raise here particularly this poses significant problems for us because we have to research the provenance of these documents, the context.

THE COURT: That's why you have the three-day agreement, sir.

MR. MARK: And we also have objections to those particular exhibits. But I want to lay out a little bit before that. Which is these exhibits reflect the defendants apparently are trying to turn Ms. Maali who is aligned with the defendants into a defense witness on cross by introducing all sorts of matters we anticipate will be outside of Ms. Maali's direct examination. This effort is particularly problematic,

1 giver

given Ms. Maali is aligned with the defendants. By doing so, they are avoiding other disclosure obligations if they are able to call Ms. Maali as a witness, such as the Rule 26.2 disclosure obligations.

As a result, the government will be objecting to exhibits and questioning that's outside of the scope. And as we noted, there are specific objections to those two exhibits, which Mr. Richenthal can address and we'd like to be heard if the defense intends to offer those exhibits.

THE COURT: Apparently, Mr. Weitzman, you do. I don't know what those exhibits are. You do intend to introduce them.

MR. WEITZMAN: I mentioned that there are two exhibits that we're reserving the right to introduce. I don't know that we are going to with Ms. Maali. It depends on what happens on direct.

Both exhibits are documents that were produced by the government, they are not defendant's documents. So, the provenance is they are their own documents. I don't know whether the government intends to get into the Qatar allegations with Ms. Maali. These both documents do concern the Qatar allegations, and in particular the project that Mr. Daibes was developing in Edgewater, New Jersey.

Now, if the issue is one of scope, respectfully, I would suggest that there is really no good reason why we should recall a government witness in the defense case to introduce

two documents that would be just as easily done in a cross-examination.

I've never spoken to Ms. Maali. We have no 26.2 information for her.

MR. RICHENTHAL: We have objections to the exhibits, whether they come to Ms. Maali now or in a week. We don't think there are appropriate.

THE COURT: Do you intend to question her about Qatar?

MR. MARK: I can represent we do not intend to

question Ms. Maali about Qatar during her direct examination.

THE COURT: I think that's the end of it.

MR. WEITZMAN: The only issue then is whether we should be forced to recall what could be a dozen witnesses or more that the government is calling and will object on scope grounds. Because many of those witnesses are witnesses who the defense would like to call and we don't want to be limited.

THE COURT: I understand. It seems to me in general, if you want to call them, you should call them on a defense case. But, specifically, what are we dealing with here? Let me see.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ RICHENTHAL: I'm prepared to have the paralegal call them up.

THE COURT: My inclination is the defense has a choice to make, either call her on the case or not. That's what it seems to me.

Let me take a look at the exhibits nonetheless. As pointed out, in connection with the defense's motions to transfer this case to the District of New Jersey, New Jersey is not that far away. One can see it from this courthouse on a clear day.

What are the exhibits?

MR. RICHENTHAL: Can you call up DX 386, please. I'll take them in order. DX 386 and DX 387. On the screen right now is DX 386 and DX 387. As I said, I'll take them in order.

386 is on the left-hand side of your screen. It is an e-mail chain. We have multiple concerns about this chain. But I'll start at a high level.

What this chain appears to be is a rather extensive back and forth between lawyers on different sides of the pertinent deal. Ms. Maali of course is not a lawyer. But even putting aside Ms. Maali for this moment, this introduces before the jury complex questions about what due diligence involves, how parties handle transactions, none of which is relevant --

THE COURT: It's entitled "forward Heritage Edgewater due diligence checklist."

MR. RICHENTHAL: That's correct, your Honor. So this introduces before the jury complex questions that otherwise have no businesses in this trial. Like, for example, what due diligence is. What the parties on different sides of an international investment transaction typically review and why

they do so, etc., etc.

No one, to my knowledge, had any intention of introducing those concepts to this jury, and they're not relevant to the allegations here. Which again, as we've discussed before, are that Mr. Daibes wanted Mr. Menendez to take actions to assist Mr. Daibes. Once the deal actually was consummated, the nature of the involvement of lawyers and how the due diligence was effectuated, if it was, is well beyond the scope of those allegations.

The only purpose in our view for putting this in front of the jury would be to confuse the jury. That is to suggest that because lawyers were involved, and indeed lawyers at at least one reasonably prominent firm, the deal somehow must have been legitimate.

But the government is not arguing that the deal is illegitimate, and as the Court is no doubt aware, there is uniform case law to my knowledge that one cannot simply put in front of the jury deal documents and the fact of lawyers' involvement, because juries have difficulty discerning what exactly that's designed to show.

THE COURT: To my knowledge, the issue of lawyer involvement has never come up so far.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Correct. Literally the first time we saw this.

THE COURT: In various motions.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Correct, your Honor. And to put a finer put on it, we asked, as the Court knows, for advice of counsel defense, we were told there was no such defense. The first time we learned there would be any e-mails or other correspondence of this nature, that is back and forth between international counsel, was last night at approximately 11:30 p.m.

THE COURT: Thank you. What about 387?

MR. RICHENTHAL: 387 appears to be, for lack of a better word, a deal book or presentation regarding a particular development. The pertinent development here, the Court is well aware I'm sure, that the fact of that development has already been testified about at a summary level. The deal book or what I'll call the presentation, however, contains extremely detailed financial type data.

I am having the paralegal Mr. Hamill scroll through as the Court is looking. I'd ask the Court to pay attention in particular, those are photos, that's page 4. Page 5, page 6, Mr. Hamill, can you go to more text please. So this is distances to New York, etc. I'm not sure anything like that has come in, but it is reasonably innocuous. As we keep going we are now on page 7.

Page 8. So this contains valuation summary, massing, unit mix, etc. These are complicated real estate terms, including valuation details. It is entirely unclear to us what

purpose this could offer to the jury, except, once again, to suggest somehow that the deal is inherently legitimate, when the government was never arguing it was illegitimate. There has been no testimony about property valuation. There is no sense of whether --

THE COURT: The government is arguing, I take it, that the agreement, the conspiracy in this regard was between Daibes and Menendez for Menendez to assist Daibes in consummating the deal. Is that right? In exchange for bribes?

MR. RICHENTHAL: To assist Mr. Daibes in getting the deal. I don't know that I would say consummate.

THE COURT: I think that's right. He wasn't going to close the deal. That wasn't the issue.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Yes. To be more precise, as the Court knows, the allegations are that Mr. Daibes suggested to Mr. Menendez things Mr. Menendez could do, and Mr. Menendez accepted things of value following that suggestion. We have never alleged that Mr. Menendez in fact caused the deal to occur or somehow was involved in the deal itself.

But my point is actually more narrow than that. Which is even if somehow there was some fair game on the periphery to those things, this is detailed real estate valuation and pricing. This is confusing. And the only inference from what I can tell would be to suggest to the jury somehow there is an inherent legitimacy to the deal.

We are not arguing the deal is illegitimate. We are arguing that Mr. Menendez agreed to and promised to take steps to help Mr. Daibes, who was having trouble getting financing.

THE COURT: And again, on direct, you are not going to get into Qatar with her.

MR. RICHENTHAL: My understanding from Mr. Mark is that he is not going to get into Qatar with Ms. Maali.

MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

Due diligence is not a complicated topic. It is done on every deal. We have one of the more educated juries here. We have, I believe we have consultants, bankers, doctor. We can define due diligence very easily.

THE COURT: What's the relevance?

MR. WEITZMAN: The relevance --

THE COURT: What is the relevance of due diligence for this jury?

MR. WEITZMAN: The relevance is as follows. The government would like to paint a very simple picture. Heritage invested in Daibes' deal, Menendez did these acts, a Senate Resolution and certain chairman statements in order to incentivize Heritage or make it helpful for them to invest in the deal.

When in reality, Heritage invested -- and I opened on this, your Honor -- for totally independent reasons, having nothing to do with Senator Menendez. And that's important

to invest.

because it goes to Mr. Daibes' mens rea and arguably
Mr. Menendez's. But Mr. Daibes knows that they are engaged in
18 months of due diligence. They've hired lawyers from
Greenberg Traurig, they've hired financial consultants, they've
hired architects. They are doing a real inquiry into whether

The notion in Mr. Daibes' mind or Mr. Menendez's mind that some chairman statement is going to make Heritage, which is independent of the Qatari government, invest in the deal is nonsensical.

So we need to explain to the jury how this deal actually gets put together. It is not based on a chairman statement. It is because they investigated it for 18 months. We planned to call --

THE COURT: I assume, I don't know, but I assume -- am I wrong, wasn't 387 put together by Daibes?

MR. WEITZMAN: No. 387 is put together by Heritage.

It's put together by their consultants. I'm happy to redact
the page of valuation. I don't need to get into the valuation.

We will get into it in our defense case, because we are going
to call witnesses to explain the Heritage process.

Make no mistake, it is the government, your Honor, that plans to call a lawyer in their case. They plan to call someone from Skadden who represents Heritage. That's what they've informed us. Now, if that's the case, it is not us

injecting a lawyer, although we do intend to do so because we believe that the existence of the due diligence actually goes to the defendant's scienter.

There is no reason to bribe -- to issue a chairman statement that everybody and every politician was issuing, when Heritage, an independent entity, was engaged in legitimate due diligence and the jury needs to understand how this works.

MR. DE CASTRO: Judge.

MR. DE CASTRO: If I can add. So, what the government is calling due diligence and saying this is a term that's so difficult for the jury to understand is really just background. And so, they want this investigation -- excuse me, they want this deal to be in evidence, but yet they don't want any background regarding the deal. First of all, that's unfair. It's prejudicial. The fact that there was due diligence done -- forget the term. Just the fact that the parties got together, they shared information, and they decided one way or the other to go into business together, is relevant and important. We opened on it as well.

And we intended, well, now that we know she's not getting into Qatar, we intended to discuss that with Ms. Maali as well. I also I wanted to add that point and --

THE COURT: You are not going to do it on cross if it's not gone into on direct.

MR. DE CASTRO: Now no. I did want to discuss the scope issues that the government brought up earlier. But I can do that after if you want to finish this thread and we can talk about that.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. RICHENTHAL: A few things. First, the lawyer we are calling was not -- let me say again -- not the lawyer on the transaction. The lawyer we are calling, we are only calling because the defense refuses to stipulate to authenticity of basic documents from Heritage. So we are calling a lawyer who can authenticate those documents. The lawyer, to my knowledge, has no knowledge of the transaction itself, and is not being called for that purpose, because as I've said, we are not getting into the nature of the transaction.

Second, with respect to the PowerPoint on your right, which is the document we are talking about, I am not aware of any evidence whatsoever that Mr. Menendez at any point prior to receiving discovery in this case literally knew this document existed. Never mind relied on it. So it obviously doesn't go to his scienter.

And third, the allegation in the indictment could not be more clear. It is not that Mr. Menendez acted to assist Heritage. That's not in the indictment. It is Mr. Menendez promised and agreed to take actions with respect to the country

of Qatar, to assist Mr. Daibes getting financing, as it turned out, from Heritage, because Heritage is connected to the country of Qatar.

If this went to Mr. Menendez's understanding somehow of what I just said, that is the connection or lack thereof between Heritage and Qatar, perhaps we would be having a different discussion. This is a document that doesn't go to that. To my knowledge, again, Mr. Menendez never saw it until we produced it in discovery, so it doesn't go to his scienter.

MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, just one quick point. The government doesn't, as we understand it in their witness list, doesn't plan to call any witnesses with any relevant knowledge about this entire supposed scheme. They will call one witness which is someone from Skadden to introduce documents, and they will make arguments. They want the jury and have been dying for the jury to hear nothing about that. That deprives us of our defense.

THE COURT: I understand. But what Mr. Richenthal said was the allegations do not concern this deal, they concern efforts that Menendez made in regard to Qatar. Not the deal.

And they're separating out Qatar from the deal.

MR. WEITZMAN: Correct. Although he did say, your
Honor, Heritage is connected to the country of Qatar. That's a
quote. And that's not accurate. That's why we wanted the
Rule 15 depositions. Because Heritage, other than the fact

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that it invests the money of a Qatari national, has no connection to the government of Qatar.

THE COURT: We don't haven't to get into that now. But the Qatari national I think is a Sheikh Sultan or Sultan Sheikh and is a member, a high-ranking member of the Qatari royal family. I'm not sure you can draw that distinction that you are trying to draw.

MR. WEITZMAN: We are entitled to try in our defense and we are entitled to defend whether it makes sense for these two to conspire, as the government charges, to pass a chairman's resolution in order to incentivize an unconnected entity to invest in a deal when they are engaged in 18 months of due diligence and actual legitimate work, spending what I assume was millions of dollars to get under the hood and kick the tires of this investment.

If the jury doesn't understand that, then they will fall prey to an oversimplified narrative, which is what the government wants them to do.

THE COURT: Last round.

MR. RICHENTHAL: One small point. Chronology matters here. Mr. Hamill, can you go to the first page of the PowerPoint. This is October 2022. Not only is there no evidence to my knowledge Mr. Menendez knew about this PowerPoint, this is after the beginning of the allegations I've talked about.

To be clear, Mr. Menendez did play a role in introducing Heritage to Mr. Daibes. We've always said that. But introducing Heritage to Mr. Daibes and agreeing and promising to take actions to benefit the government of Qatar is very different from a contemporaneous awareness of the specifics of the deal thereafter. And what happens thereafter in any event cannot bear on what he agreed to do beforehand.

MR. WEITZMAN: Even if that were correct, it doesn't address, which the government has not addressed, it does go to Mr. Daibes' scienter. Which there has to be a meeting of the minds and those are the two that are charged.

THE COURT: This discussion has been helpful. It is actually at this point unnecessary. She's not going to be asked about Qatar, so I would not allow Qatar information come to in on cross-examination. But this has helped educate me.

What other issues are there, if any? Yes, sir, you wanted to add something.

MR. DE CASTRO: I want to respond to the scope issues on gift giving. So, first, I want to point out, one, that the government in its direct examination of Ms. Maali brought out at transcripts 962, 965-66 Ms. Maali's job responsibilities at Daibes Enterprises. They talked about what she does on a daily basis, they talked about her writing checks from bank accounts for him, personal accounts, and I suspect they are going to go into a little greater detail as to the gold he collects. They

already brought that out. The gold he keeps at his home.

THE COURT: That's a separate issue from gift giving.

MR. DE CASTRO: Well, it could be. But we intend to bring out that he gives gifts of gold. Your Honor ruled in the pretrial final pretrial conference and stated the following.

"In regard to Mr. Daibes, he argues he wants to put in the fact that he is a habitual gift giver. It seems to me that can come in. However, I mean, if it is admissible, I don't have any objection to that. I assume the government doesn't either. That's my ruling there." Then the government reaffirmed the relevance issue.

They brought out her job responsibilities. A huge part of her job responsibilities is personally involved, she's personally involved with Mr. Daibes' gift giving on all different fronts. And so, I don't see a scope objection at all. I think they brought it out already and we should be entitled to go into it.

THE COURT: I'll look at those pages of the transcript. Government?

MR. RICHENTHAL: Very quickly. I think I was the one who responded at the time.

Yes, habitual gift giving, which is the quote in the transcript, is a character trait. The defense is allowed to elicit relevant character traits. I think at the time we said we agree.

The distinction we are trying to draw and the law draws is between character traits and specific instances in conformity therewith. Our understanding is that the defense does not simply intend to ask Ms. Maali, or for that matter any other witness, is Mr. Daibes a gift giver. But to do this differently, what they intend to do, specifically, as we understand it, is literally ask her specifics. Did he give gifts to this person. Did he give gifts to that person. Were they gold, were they not gold. That is in our judgment crossing the line between, as was said in the pretrial conference, habitual gift giving that is a character trait, to specific instances in conformity therewith.

THE COURT: Is that 404(b)(1) evidence of any other act is not admissible to prove a person's character in order to show that on a particular occasion, the person acted in accordance with the character.

MR. RICHENTHAL: That's our view, your Honor, yes.

MR. DE CASTRO: Well, Judge.

THE COURT: That's correct.

MR. DE CASTRO: They didn't object to it at pretrial. Second, they are going to bring out on direct that Mr. Daibes bought, for example, Nadine Menendez a purse. And during that exact transaction, he then bought Ms. Maali a purse as well. They're trying to prevent it because they know it's coming and they want -- they're concerned about it, even though they are

2.4

going to bring out gift giving and they are just calling it a bribe.

THE COURT: Let's see.

MR. DE CASTRO: We're calling it gift giving.

THE COURT: Let's see what they are doing.

MR. MARK: Your Honor, we don't intend to introduce that in her direct. This is the line that your Honor also drew with Ms. Mierna Hanna, which is we did not object to the question of the character trait of gift giving. We did object to the specific instances. And that's the objection your Honor sustained with her, and that's the same line your Honor should draw with Ms. Maali.

Page 960 of the transcript.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. DE CASTRO: What they are doing now is we are not going to bring that out now, but we'll bring it in through a summary witness where there's messages about Mr. Daibes buying this purse for Ms. Menendez, when we have a witness who was present. Not only when Ms. Menendez was requesting it, or asked for it, that purchased it with him. And the thought we can't get into that with the actual witness that's present because they're choosing strategically to not do it is unfair and prejudicial.

THE COURT: I think you can, if need be, make her your witness. But I have trouble with the 404(b)(1) issue.

MR. RICHENTHAL: If the defense believes specific instances we have elicited is not placed in context, obviously we have no objection to them trying to place the instance in context.

But our understanding of what they intend to do is go well beyond anything we are putting in, and essentially and go beyond Rule 405 which I would direct your Honor to, which talks about limitations on proving of character, to try to elicit Mr. Daibes' character through specific instances far afield from this particular purse.

THE COURT: All right. If those are the issues.

MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, and this is a minor housekeeping issue. Two things. One, I've talked with defense counsel. As a way of speeding up the introduction of evidence in connection with our first summary witness, Special Agent Coughlin this afternoon.

THE COURT: He is going to go on this afternoon?

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes. Depending on I suppose how long Ms. Maali's testimony takes, but he is going next.

THE COURT: How long is the direct, remainder of the direct on Ms. Maali?

MR. MARK: I expect if it goes quickly, it could be 20 minutes or less.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. MONTELEONI: So, we are going to be, obviously, in

order to allow him to introduce the chart, we are going to be introducing the underlying exhibits and as well as some others he'll be testifying about. For several of them we are going to offer them into the record in the normal way. But what we've discussed with defense, they don't object to, is we have prepared a list of a number of them that are being admitted pursuant to stipulation. We have numbered the list, if we provide the list to the court reporter, then we would propose that we on the record just offer the list and the exhibits and not read.

THE COURT: As long as you have a number for the list.

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, the list is going --

THE COURT: Agreed. That's an efficient way to do it.

MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you, your Honor. And also we intend to put up a board with some photographs. We would ask the Court's permission to find an appropriate location for it. We would suggest over in that area just to let the jury follow along.

THE COURT: Are there issues about the photographs? They will be in evidence.

MR. MONTELEONI: We understand they will be. The defense has stipulated to their authenticity.

THE COURT: What's your issue?

MR. MONTELEONI: The mechanics of putting a physical object. We were proposing to put it over by the interpreters.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It seems to me okay. I have no idea how big this board is. We'll make sure we don't block the jurors' way. What else? MR. MONTELEONI: That's all I have. THE COURT: How long is the cross on Maali? To the extent anyone knows it. MR. WEITZMAN: From us it be it will be very short, 10, 15 minutes. MR. DE CASTRO: Again, depending on scope, maybe half hour, 40 minutes. MR. LUSTBERG: Shorter. 15 minutes. THE COURT: Let's try to get the summary witness on. Who are the witnesses for the next two days? MR. MONTELEONI: We think it's all going to be Special Agent Coughlin. We are going to be moving very efficiently, but there is a lot to cover. THE COURT: Where are we in terms of where the

THE COURT: Where are we in terms of where the government thought we would be in this trial in terms of our five to six weeks?

MR. MONTELEONI: We're not at schedule yet, but we've been making adjustments during this period to try to get back to schedule. I think right now it is fair to say we're slightly behind, but we've been doing a tremendous amount of effort to try to catch up.

THE COURT: Let's catch up.

MR. WEITZMAN: There is one issue that I raised with the government but I have not heard a further response, which is that the exhibit that they are offering consistent with your Honor's ruling has blacked out lines where the speech and debate entries would otherwise be. We think your Honor's ruling was that you should remove the lines and not let the jury speculate as to what was there. And we've asked them to do so.

THE COURT: You are arguing over something at this level, sir?

MR. WEITZMAN: Well, it will result in speculation.

There's just no reason for it. Other than to want the jury to speculate. There are some lines they've taken out like "Bob signed off on this."

THE COURT: The government should be consistent. It seems to me -- I think I already have told the jury what redaction is and it means it is not relevant to them. And presumably, they are going to be a lot of exhibits that have redactions now. I don't see why this should be different. But the government should be consistent in whether it is blacking things out or removing them.

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, your Honor. We have been consistent. The line that Mr. Weitzman is referring to that he thinks we took out, we didn't. It is actually there were two comments in that line. "I'm sending you an article" and "Bob

2.4

had to sign off on this."

And our proposal, which in our motion for reconsideration keeps that line, and so therefore we prepared a version with that line. But then in the version, if the Court does not grant reconsideration, then there is a strike through in that line.

And look, there a reason for it, we obviously don't like to present redacted documents to the jury if we have any choice. But an exhibit of this length, we have to get the pages exactly right in Trial Director. We can't be messing with the pagination at this late time. So we thought the only feasible thing to do would be to strike out those lines consistent with the Court's ruling if there is no reconsideration in this manner. We were not doing this for gamesmanship.

THE COURT: I'm not concerned about the redactions insofar as there have been and presumably will be redactions. The jury knows what redactions are.

But the parties really have got to find a modus vivendi, a way of operating here on things of that nature.

I'll see everybody at 1 o'clock. I do want to talk to one lawyer for each side briefly.

1 o'clock. Thank you.

(Recess)

(Continued on next page)

24

25

1 AFTERNOON SESSION 2 1:00 p.m. 3 (Jury not present) 4 THE COURT: Bring the jury in. 5 The jury is here. As always, they're very prompt. MR. FEE: Your Honor, we have one issue. 6 7 THE COURT: You may be seated in the back. 8 MR. FEE: Mr. Monteleoni said that they wanted to put 9 up a physical, blown-up picture. It's the first we've heard of 10 it. We asked him to show it to us. He did. It's essentially a series of mug shots or face photos, unframed, and we would 11 12 object to that. It's a form of argument. It's certainly not 13 appropriate through the FBI agent summary witness, who has no 14 personal knowledge of those people. 15 THE COURT: Let me see it. This is simple. 16 MR. MONTELEONI: So, your Honor, this is the board. 17 These are the photographs that have been agreed to. We're 18 going to put up the photographs. 19 THE COURT: They're agreed to be in evidence. 20 MR. MONTELEONI: Sorry. 21 The defendants have stipulated to their authenticity. 22 THE COURT: OK. 23 MR. MONTELEONI: And they did not raise any objection,

besides Hana's, which you overruled last week, to the photos

themselves. They're DMV or, in some cases, visa record photos;

05sWmen2

2.4

in Menendez's case it's a public source photo. And they provide a visual reference to the jury whom we're going to be introducing as we introduce characters in what we expect is going to be a lengthy scheme involving a number of different individuals, including a number of different individuals who share the same first names. So all of the same reasons --

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. FEE: At a minimum, your Honor, they should not be putting in photos of the defendants. No one needs a visual reference for the defendants in this courtroom. It is a form of argument. They're going to put Senator Menendez at the top.

THE COURT: No, no. They're not going to do that.

MR. FEE: They are, your Honor.

THE COURT: No.

MR. MONTELEONI: So, we were planning on including the defendants' photos. Really there's going to be a row of the defendants and Nadine Menendez. It was going to be the top row. If it's important for it to be the bottom row, that doesn't really matter. There's going to be a row of other individuals that are sort of from a different country and then a row of other, domestic individuals, all just so the jury can keep track as, I think, potentially hours of testimony of documents unfold these individuals. There's no lines. There's no connections.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. I don't want it as

O5sWmen2 Maali - Direct

1 (Jury present)

THE COURT: You may be seated in the courtroom.

Put your witness on the stand.

JAMELA MAALI, resumed.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Maali, and welcome.

You understand you remain under oath. Is that

correct?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please move your chair up so you can speak directly into the microphone.

11 We now will continue with the direct examination of

Ms. Maali by Mr. Mark on behalf of the government.

- 13 | DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
- 14 BY MR. MARK:
- 15 | Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Maali.
- 16 A. Good afternoon.
- 17 | Q. Do you remember testifying last week about who worked at IS
- 18 | EG Halal?
- 19 | A. I do.
- 20 | Q. Do you remember testifying that when the business started
- 21 there were three employees -- you, Wael Hana and Rony Daibes,
- 22 | Fred Daibes's cousin?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And you testified that when you finished working at IS EG
- 25 | Halal in early 2020, there were about 10 or 15 employees in the

O5sWmen2 Maali - Direct

1 United States. Is that correct?

- A. Correct.
- 3 Q. You testified last week that you were an office manager for
- 4 | IS EG while you worked there. Is that right?
- 5 A. Yeah.

2

- 6 Q. I want to focus on 2019. At that time was your
- 7 understanding that all of IS EG's employees in the United
- 8 States worked in the same office where you worked in New
- 9 Jersey?
- 10 | A. In the United States?
- 11 | Q. Yes.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Now I want to switch topics, Ms. Maali.
- Do you know anyone named Nader Moussa?
- 15 A. I know of him.
- 16 | Q. Were you -- did you meet him?
- 17 | A. I have.
- 18 | Q. Who introduced you to Nader Moussa?
- 19 | A. Mr. Hana.
- 20 Q. Based on your conversations with Mr. Hana, did you
- 21 understand that Mr. Hana and Nader Moussa were friends?
- 22 A. From my understanding, yes.
- MR. MARK: Mr. Hamill, would you please show for the
- 24 | witness, the parties and the Court only what has been marked
- 25 for identification as Government Exhibit 2A-22.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Direct

1 Q. Ms. Maali, do you recognize who is depicted in this exhibit?

- A. I mean I'd like to say that's Nader, but I don't remember.
- Q. Does that look similar to the person you knew as Nader
- 5 | Moussa?

3

- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 MR. MARK: The government offers Government Exhibit 8 2A-22.
- 9 THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.
- 10 (Government Exhibit 2A-22 received in evidence)
- 11 MR. MARK: Mr. Hamill, could you briefly publish that.
- 12 | Q. Ms. Maali, last week you also testified that you knew
- 13 Nadine Menendez. Is that right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 \parallel Q. And you testified that you wrote several checks from
- 16 | Mr. Hana to Nadine's company, Strategic International Business
- 17 Consultants LLC, is that right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. At the time you worked for Mr. Hana, you were not aware of
- 20 | any work Nadine did for IS EG Halal, were you?
- 21 | A. No, I wasn't.
- 22 | Q. While you worked for IS EG Halal, you never saw Nadine do
- 23 | any work for IS EG Halal, did you?
- 24 A. I did not.
- 25 | Q. While you worked for IS EG Halal, you never saw any

O5sWmen2 Maali - Direct

1 documents created by Nadine for IS EG Halal, did you?

MR. WEITZMAN: Objection. Leading.

THE COURT: I will allow that. We had a discussion about this earlier today.

Go ahead.

A. I'm sorry. Repeat the question?

MR. MARK: Of course.

THE COURT: Did you ever see any documents created by

Nadine for IS EG Halal?

THE WITNESS: I have not.

BY MR. MARK:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

Q. And while you worked for --

THE COURT: You know what? At this stage, ask in a nonleading manner. At this stage.

Mr. Weitzman, you're correct.

MR. MARK: Mr. Hamill, please show for the witness, the parties and the Court only what has been marked for identification as Government Exhibit 5A-1001A through C.

- Q. Ms. Maali, do you recognize these exhibits?
- 20 A. I do.
- 21 \square Q. What are they?
- 22 A. Checks that were written out to Nadine's business.

MR. MARK: The government offers Government Exhibit

24 \parallel 5A-1001A to C into evidence.

THE COURT: Hearing no objection, admitted.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Direct

1 (Government Exhibits 5A-1001A, 5A-1001B and 5A-1001C received in evidence)

- MR. MARK: Mr. Hamill, could you publish Government Exhibit 5A-1001A.
- Q. Ms. Maali, this is one of the checks you signed for
- 6 Mr. Hana from IS EG Halal to Nadine's company, correct?
 - A. Yup.

3

4

5

- 8 Q. How many checks did you sign for Mr. Hana from IS EG Halal
- 9 to Strategic International Business Consultants?
- 10 A. I believe three, from what I see. That's what I can remember.
- 12 Q. How much were each of these checks for?
- 13 A. \$10,000.
- 14 Q. What did you do with these checks after you signed them?
- 15 A. I placed them on Mr. Hana's desk.
- 16 | Q. Now, Ms. Maali, last week you testified that Mr. Daibes
- 17 | kept gold, is that right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Daibes keep an inventory of the
- 20 gold he had?
- 21 A. He did.
- 22 | Q. Who helped Mr. Daibes prepare the gold inventory?
- 23 | A. I did.
- 24 | Q. Who asked you to prepare the inventory of Mr. Daibes's
- 25 gold?

O5sWmen2 Maali - Direct

- 1 A. Mr. Daibes.
- 2 | Q. How did you prepare the inventory of Mr. Daibes's gold?
- 3 A. We sat down, he put the gold in front of us and we wrote
- 4 down serial numbers and the manufacturer.
- 5 Q. Did you ever make changes or updates to the inventory of
- 6 Mr. Daibes's gold that you maintained?
- 7 A. When he would tell me about it, I would make changes.
 - Q. And how would you go about making changes to the inventory?
- 9 A. If he would buy more inventory or more gold, he would tell
- 10 | me I purchased ten more coins or 15 wafers, and I would add
- 11 that to the spreadsheet.
- 12 | Q. When you recorded information to the gold inventory make
- 13 changes, did you try to do so accurately?
- 14 A. I tried to.
- 15 \parallel Q. Prior to September 2023, when was the last time you recall
- 16 | updating the gold inventory for Mr. Daibes?
- 17 A. 2019.

- 18 | Q. Now, you mentioned that Mr. Daibes asked you to add items
- 19 | to the gold inventory?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. Did he ever ask you to remove items from the gold
- 22 | inventory?
- 23 A. Not that I could recall.
- 24 MR. MARK: Mr. Hamill, could you please show for the
- 25 witness, the parties and the Court only what has been marked

O5sWmen2 Maali - Direct

1 | for identification as Government Exhibit 3D-6.

- Q. Ms. Maali, do you recognize this exhibit?
- 3 | A. I do.

2

4

5

- Q. What is this exhibit?
- A. That's the gold inventory we created.
- 6 MR. MARK: The government offers Government Exhibit
 7 3D-6 into evidence.
- 8 THE COURT: Admitted.
 - (Government Exhibit 3D-6 received in evidence)
- MR. MARK: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish this exhibit.
- Q. Ms. Maali, you said this is the gold inventory you prepared
- 13 for Mr. Daibes?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 \parallel Q. Directing your attention to the top left of the document,
- 16 do you see where it says FAD in all caps?
- 17 | A. I do.
- 18 Q. What does FAD stand for?
- 19 A. Fred Assad Daibes, Mr. Daibes's initials.
- 20 \parallel Q. Directing your attention to the first line, do you see
- 21 where it says kilo bars?
- 22 A. I do.
- 23 | Q. How many kilogram bars of gold does the inventory list?
- 24 A. 24.
- 25 | Q. Directing your attention down the inventory to where it

- says one-ounce wafers, how many one-ounce wafers of gold does the inventory list?
 - A. 412.

6

13

14

- Q. Does this inventory also reflect the brand and serial
- 5 numbers for the gold Mr. Daibes had?
 - A. It does, further down.
- Q. And how did you get the information to list for the brand and serial number for the gold?
- 9 A. The serial number's imprinted on the gold bars as well as the manufacturer.
- 11 MR. MARK: Mr. Hamill, could you please take us to the 12 middle of page 2 in Government Exhibit 3D-6.
 - Q. Ms. Maali, could you please read in the middle of the page the words in all caps in the box?
- 15 A. Kilo bars.
- MR. MARK: Mr. Hamill, could you put up Government
 Exhibit 1F-1164 to the right side by side with this exhibit.
- Now, Mr. Hamill, could you zoom in on Government

 Exhibit 1F-1164 on the big bar on the left.
 - Q. Ms. Maali, could you read the words in the oval?
- 21 A. Swiss Bank Corporation.
- 22 | Q. And now above the oval, can you read that number?
- 23 A. 59005.
- 24 | THE COURT: Do that again, please.
- 25 THE WITNESS: 590005.

Maali - Direct

BY MR. MARK: 1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

- Q. Now, looking at Government Exhibit 3D-6 on the Fred Daibes 2 3 gold inventory on the right that you prepared --
 - MR. MARK: Mr. Hamill, could you please scroll down to the bottom of page 2, top of page 3.
 - Q. -- Ms. Maali, could you please read the brand that's listed there?
 - A. Swiss Bank Corp.
 - Q. And on the second line of the third page under Swiss Bank Corp., in the kilo section, could you please read that number in the second line?
- 12 Α. 590005.
- 13 Is that the same serial number you just read from the gold Q. 14 bar in Government Exhibit 1F-1164?
- 15 Α. It is.
 - MR. MARK: Mr. Hamill, could you please take down 1F-1164 and put up Government Exhibit 1F-1239.
- 18 Are you able to rotate the exhibit on the left, 19 Mr. Hamill?
- 20 And Mr. Hamill, could you please zoom in on the big 21 gold bar in the middle and the top.
- 22 Q. Ms. Maali, could you read the words at the top of the oval of this bar? 23
- 24 Probably wouldn't be able to pronounce it correctly.
- 25 Can you just try?

1 A. Metaux -- I don't know. I'm not even going to mess it up.

- Q. Does it look like Metaux Precieux?
- A. Uh-huh, I think.
- Q. Now, above the oval, can you read the number?
- 5 A. 890581.

2

3

- 6 MR. MARK: Now, Mr. Hamill, on Government Exhibit
- 7 | 3D-6, could you please scroll to the middle of page 2.
- Q. On the right there, do you see the same brand as you saw in
- 9 the picture of the gold bar?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And the line below with the serial number, could you please
- 12 read that number?
- 13 A. 890581.
- 14 | Q. Is that the same serial number you just read from the gold
- 15 | bar in GX1F-1239?
- 16 | A. It is.
- MR. MARK: One moment, your Honor?
- No further questions, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 20 Is there any cross-examination of this witness?
- 21 MR de CASTRO: Just briefly.
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 24 | Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Maali.
- 25 A. Hi. Good afternoon.

05sWmen2

Maali - Cross

- 1 Q. On direct examination you testified about your job
- 2 responsibilities working for Mr. Daibes. Do you remember that?
- 3 | A. I do.
- 4 | Q. You worked -- and you've worked for Mr. Daibes for 15
- 5 years, is that right?
- 6 | A. As his executive assistant, 13. Two years prior in
- 7 management.
- 8 Q. For a total 15 years and 13 years working very closely with
- 9 | him?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. Now -- and you sit right outside his office, right?
- 12 | A. I do.
- 13 | Q. And do you call him Mr. Daibes, Fred or Freddy?
- 14 A. Fred.
- 15 Q. Do many people call him Mr. Daibes?
- 16 A. Not really.
- 17 | Q. He either insists on Fred or Freddy, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. Now, would you agree with me that you're involved in almost
- 20 | every aspect of Mr. Daibes's professional life?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And that's, that includes things like keeping his calendar?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Making sure of his schedule every day and where he's
- 25 supposed to be?

- 1 | A. I try to, yes.
- 2 Q. You answer the phone in the office as well, right?
- 3 | A. I do.
- 4 | Q. And you take messages as well?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Would you agree with me that you make sure that the office
- 7 | has everything it needs?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And office is pretty big, right; there's a lot of
- 10 | employees?
- 11 A. There is.
- 12 | Q. How many employees would you say?
- 13 A. Are you referring to the development side? There's
- 14 different sections of the office. If you're talking as a
- 15 | whole --
- 16 Q. As a whole.
- 17 A. About 50.
- 18 | Q. And you also do things like arrange for lunches for
- 19 | everybody as well, right?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 | Q. Which Mr. Daibes generally arranges, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. Crazy question, but Mr. Daibes likes doughnuts, doesn't he?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. He likes them a lot, right?

1 THE COURT: Let's move on. Go ahead.

- 2 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 3 Q. And does he bring them into the office sometimes?
- 4 MR. MARK: I object.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 7 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 8 Q. Now, you also handle some of Mr. Daibes's bank accounts as
- 9 | well, right?
- 10 A. I do.
- 11 | Q. His personal bank accounts, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. Now -- and you do shopping for him as well?
- 14 A. I do.
- 15 | Q. Over the holidays, you do a lot of holiday shopping for him
- 16 and his family, right?
- 17 A. I do somewhat for his family. More for the families that
- 18 \parallel he adopts.
- 19 Q. And you help coordinate his charitable donations and causes
- 20 | in which he's involved, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 MR. MARK: Objection.
- THE COURT: I'll allow it. Move on, sir.
- 24 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 25 | Q. Now, we've heard on direct examination that you also

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

- 1 | inventoried his personal gold?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. And you also inventory his watches as well, right?
- 4 A. Yup.
- 5 Q. In fact, it's on that same exhibit?
- 6 A. It's not on the same Excel spreadsheet.
 - Q. You have it as a separate Excel sheet?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. You also inventory his cars?
- 10 A. Yup.

- 11 | Q. And you are involved in funding East-West, right?
- 12 A. When I'm asked to.
- 13 | Q. And can you remind the jury, what is East-West?
- 14 A. It's a lending company that Mr. Daibes has.
- 15 \parallel Q. And he lends money to various individuals and companies,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And you said that what you do is, when you're directed to,
- 19 you fund that in order for it to disburse loans?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. And you're aware that there are dozens of loans that
- 22 | East-West has issued?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And are you aware of the interest rates that are charged on
- 25 | those loans?

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

- 1 | A. I'm not.
- 2 Q. You are aware, though, that interest is charged, correct?
- 3 A. I believe so.
- 4 | Q. Now, would you agree that because you perform all these
- 5 tasks for Mr. Daibes sort of individually and personally, that
- 6 you've learned a lot about him?
- 7 A. I have.
- 8 Q. I think you, on direct examination you testified that he's
- 9 | family, right?
- 10 | A. Yup.
- 11 THE COURT: Let's move on, sir.
- 12 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 13 Q. Is Fred Egyptian?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. Where is he from?
- 16 A. Palestinian, was born in Lebanon.
- 17 | Q. Do you know what his religion is?
- 18 A. Christian.
- 19 Q. Is Mr. Daibes highly educated?
- 20 A. I believe he graduated from high school.
- 21 | Q. And do you know how big his family is, his siblings?
- 22 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Yes. Let's move on. Ask what she does,
- 24 | not this --
- MR de CASTRO: No problem.

Q. In the 13 years that you've worked closely with Mr. Daibes as his personal assistant, have you observed whether Mr. Daibes

is generous?

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- A. Extremely.
- Q. And how would you describe his generosity?

6 THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. MARK: Objection.

BY MR de CASTRO:

Q. In general, is he generous with friends?

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. MARK: Objection.

A. Yes.

THE COURT: The jury will disregard the answer.

MR de CASTRO: OK. Sustained means you can't answer.

THE WITNESS: Oh.

BY MR de CASTRO:

Q. In terms of his generosity over the years, are you able to

say, in total, how much, in general, you've seen him provide?

MR. MARK: Objection.

THE COURT: 404(b). Sustained.

21 BY MR de CASTRO:

Q. You testified on direct examination regarding Nadine

Menendez and knowing her. Remember that?

- 24 A. I do.
- 25 | Q. And were you aware that Nadine Menendez and Fred Daibes

1 were friends, friendly?

- A. Yes, they are.
- 3 | Q. And are you aware of a gift that Mr. Daibes gave to Nadine
- 4 | Menendez?

2

- A. I am.
- 6 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 8 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 9 Q. Are you aware of an item that Mr. Daibes provided to Nadine
- 10 Menendez?
- MR. MARK: Objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Same objection. Same ruling.
- 13 | BY MR de CASTRO:
- 14 | Q. Now, has Mr. Daibes been generous with you personally?
- 15 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 16 THE COURT: 404, 405. Sustained.
- 17 Ladies and gentlemen, these references are legal
- 18 references. You don't have to worry about them. Don't look
- 19 | them up. It has nothing to do with the jury's area of
- 20 responsibility.
- 21 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 22 Q. Does Mr. Daibes pay for things in cash sometimes?
- 23 THE COURT: I'll allow that.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What kinds of things?

1 A. Dinners, gifts.

Q. Does he give out loans sometimes in cash?

A. He has.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

MR. MARK: Objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

6 BY MR de CASTRO:

Q. And does he have cash in the office?

A. He does.

Q. Have cash on him?

THE COURT: Now? What do you mean?

11 BY MR de CASTRO:

12 | Q. While you're working with Mr. Daibes at the office, are you

aware of whether he has cash on him or not during work?

A. I do. He does.

Q. Does?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And is it a lot of cash or a little bit of cash?

A. Depends.

19 THE COURT: Go ahead. Proceed.

20 MR. MARK: Objection.

21 BY MR de CASTRO:

Q. Thousands of dollars?

MR. MARK: Vague.

24 THE COURT: Pardon me?

25 MR. MARK: Objection. Vague.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

THE COURT: Yes, it is. I'll allow that question and that answer.

Next.

- BY MR de CASTRO:
- Q. Now, on direct examination, you discussed Mr. Daibes's extensive gold collection and you just showed it to us,
- 7 | correct?

3

- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And you're the one that writes the checks or wires the 10 money for Mr. Daibes's gold purchases. Am I right?
- 11 A. When I'm asked to, yes.
- 12 Q. And you helped him create that inventory back in 2018?
- 13 A. Prior to 2018.
- 14 | Q. And would you say he frequently buys gold?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And since you started working for him, has his gold
- 17 | inventory increased, decreased or stayed about the same?
- 18 A. I would say increased.
- 19 | Q. Has it increased --
- 20 THE COURT: Just a moment.
- 21 Are you talking about what's listed on that inventory 22 or how much gold he has?
- 23 THE WITNESS: He had that gold prior to me working for 24 him, but --
- 25 THE COURT: The question is over the years, since you

05sWmen2 Maali - Cross

started working for him, has the amount of inventory increased, 1 2 decreased or stayed about the same?

THE WITNESS: It increased. He had a majority of it prior to me working for him, and then he would buy more and I would just add to it.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

BY MR de CASTRO:

- And there came a point in time where you stopped updating the inventory, right?
- Α. Correct.
 - But had he purchased more gold and you hadn't updated?
- 12 Α. Yup.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 13 And what about gifting gold; does he gift gold? Q.
- 14 MR. MARK: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained. 15
- 16 MR de CASTRO: In general, Judge.
- 17 In general, sustained. THE COURT:
- 18 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 19 Are you aware of how Mr. Daibes stays on top of or is aware 20 of the price of gold at any given time?
- 21 There's a website that he checks on his computer.
- 22 And are you aware of how often he checks it?
- 23 Pretty often. Maybe every day. Α.
- 24 And you've personally seen him checking for the price of gold?
- 25

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

- A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. And incidentally, do you know if gold is sold at Costco?

3 MR. MARK: Objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow that. She may know. She may

5 not.

1

6

7

9

- A. I've seen it on social media, yes.
- Q. Has Mr. Daibes ever bought gold from Costco that you're

8 aware?

- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 10 Q. Now, can you say whether Mr. Daibes talks about investing
- 11 | in gold with others?
- 12 A. I know he tells people it's a great investment.
- 13 Q. And you've seen him try to convince people that it is a
- 14 good investment?
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
- 16 Next question.
- 17 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 18 Q. And who have you heard him tell?
- 19 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 22 | Q. Would you say that he often extols the virtues of gold?
- 23 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained.
- I think you said he tells people it's a great

1 || investment, correct?

2 | THE WITNESS: Right.

3 THE COURT: OK. Move on.

BY MR de CASTRO:

Q. And that's because the price of gold sometimes increases,

correct?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE COURT: Sustained.

Do you know why he said it's a great investment?

THE WITNESS: Because he said the price of gold

doesn't go down.

THE COURT: OK. It just goes up, up, up, up.

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Correct.

13 THE COURT: OK.

THE WITNESS: Just keeps increasing.

15 BY MR de CASTRO:

Q. Now, turning to IS EG Halal, you became aware of IS EG

Halal in 2019. Is that about right?

A. Correct.

Q. When it was just starting up?

A. Correct.

Q. And from 2019 to the present, IS EG and Daibes Enterprise

has maintained separate office spaces, correct?

23 A. Correct.

24 | Q. They were in the same building at one point but in

25 different parts of that building, right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

	Α.	They're	still	in	the	same	building.	Different	offices.
--	----	---------	-------	----	-----	------	-----------	-----------	----------

- Q. Now, there's different entrances for each company, right?
- A. Correct.

THE COURT: Difference entrances to the building or just different doors that you walk through?

THE WITNESS: It's an office building. Each office has its own entrance.

THE COURT: Each office has its own entrance on the ground floor to the outside?

THE WITNESS: No. Just Mr. Daibes's and Mr. Hana's office on the third floor.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Just because I've never been there, I can't visualize it, and don't let me put words in your mouth. Are you saying that when somebody enters the building and goes to the third floor, there are at least two doors off of the elevator, one for Mr. Daibes and one for Mr. Hana?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: OK.

THE WITNESS: From the outside as well, you can enter IS EG Halal office or Fred Daibes's office.

THE COURT: When you say from the outside, what do you mean?

THE WITNESS: Our office building, the main entrance is on the first floor, which goes to all the other offices.

THE COURT: OK.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

THE WITNESS: On the third floor there's another, like an up ramp that you can go up the ramp, and it goes straight to both offices which have separate entrances from the outside.

THE COURT: OK. In other words, it's the same as what I was visualizing, if you do the separate ramp --

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: -- up to the third floor and you enter the third floor premises, there are at least two doors --

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: -- one for Mr. Hana, one for Mr. Daibes?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

12 | THE COURT: OK. Thank you. That's helpful.

BY MR de CASTRO:

- Q. And each one has an awning, right, and has the name of the company?
- 16 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

- Q. So IS EG Halal is on one side and then around the corner is the Daibes Enterprises entrance, right?
- 19 A. Right.
- Q. Now, each of those businesses has separate entrances, has their own receptionist, right?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- Q. And in your experience working for both, they are very different businesses, right?
- MR. MARK: Objection.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

2 BY MR de CASTRO:

- 3 Q. Mr. Daibes's business involves a substantial real estate
- 4 portfolio, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And he's very knowledgeable in construction -- that is,
- 7 Mr. Daibes, in construction and --
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 9 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 10 | Q. To your knowledge, does Mr. Daibes --
- 11 THE COURT: She's not here to praise Mr. Daibes.
- 12 She's here to present facts through your questioning.
- Go ahead.
- 14 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 15 Q. Does Mr. Daibes, in your experience, have knowledge about
- 16 construction?
- 17 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 18 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 19 A. He does.
- 20 | Q. And in your experience, does he have any involvement or
- 21 knowledge in the halal business?
- 22 A. He does not.
- 23 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: I'll allow it. To her knowledge.
- 25 A. He does not.

05sWmen2

Maali - Cross

- 1 Q. And when Mr. Hana was starting, first starting IS EG Halal,
- 2 Mr. Daibes asked you if you wanted to make some extra money and
- 3 work part time for Mr. Hana, right?
 - A. Correct.
- 5 \parallel Q. But he was clear that he would permit it only if it --
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 7 MR de CASTRO: I believe she testified to this on
- 8 direct.

- 9 THE COURT: Yes, but it's the phrasing. Sustained as
- 10 to form. She's not adverse to you.
- 11 MR de CASTRO: Yes.
- 12 | Q. What did he tell you about it?
- 13 A. He said that I could work for Mr. Hana and make extra money
- 14 as long as it doesn't interfere with my job for Mr. Daibes.
- 15 | Q. Was he going to supervise your work for Mr. Hana?
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 17 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 18 Q. Was Mr. Daibes going to pay you at all for your work for
- 19 | Mr. Hana?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 | Q. Did Mr. Daibes ever ask you to complete any tasks for IS EG
- 22 | Halal?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 | Q. Would you agree with me that Mr. Daibes and Mr. Hana
- 25 | interacted quite a bit around the office?

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 MR. MARK: Objection.

trying to move things along.

THE COURT: Well, sustained as to form.

Describe their interactions during the business day, if you can. That's also objectionable as to form, but I'm

THE WITNESS: Well, sometimes Will would come over if they have any questions in regards to the real estate that they

have together.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

BY MR de CASTRO:

- Q. Was -- did you observe Mr. Hana coming to Mr. Daibes for advice, for example?
- 12 A. Yeah. They're friendly like that.
- 13 | Q. And Mr. Daibes is in his late 60s, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 \parallel Q. Mr. Hana is in his 30s, correct, at the time -- withdrawn.

16 At the time that you were starting to work for IS EG

17 | Halal --

THE COURT: Do you know the age of Mr. Hana

19 | approximately in 2019?

20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. He was in his late 30s.

21 BY MR de CASTRO:

- 22 | Q. And Mr. Daibes was generous with his time --
- 23 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 24 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 25 | Q. -- with his time with Mr. Hana?

1 THE COURT: Sustained. I don't know what that means.

They were friendly; they would talk to each other,

1141

right?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Mr. Hana would sometimes come into your

6 offices.

THE WITNESS: He would.

THE COURT: Do you know if Mr. Daibes sometimes would

go into Mr. Hana's offices?

THE WITNESS: Rarely, but he would.

THE COURT: OK. Next.

BY MR de CASTRO:

- 13 | Q. Now, with respect to East-West Funding, to your knowledge,
- 14 | how long has Mr. Daibes owned East-West Funding?
- 15 | A. I don't know. I don't handle that checkbook. I'm not
- 16 | sure. It's been years, though.
- 17 | Q. When you started working for him, was it in existence?
- 18 A. I don't remember.
- 19 Q. When do you remember the first time writing checks to
- 20 | East-West Funding; was it in the last ten years, the last five

21 | years?

25

22 THE COURT: You mean checks for East-West Funding?

23 MR de CASTRO: Yes, Judge. Sorry about that.

- 24 A. Over five years, maybe.
 - Q. Now, you just testified a minute ago that Mr. Daibes and

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 Mr. Hana are involved in some real estate projects together,
2 correct?

A. Correct.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

MR. MARK: Objection.

5 THE COURT: It's been asked and answered. I'll allow

it. I'm trying to move things along.

MR de CASTRO: I only have about three questions on it, Judge.

- Q. In those instances Mr. Daibes was selling an interest in those properties to Mr. Hana, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. And that is that -- so Mr. Daibes would own the properties and Mr. Hana was investing in them?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 | Q. Now, Mr. Daibes has a private jet as well, correct?
- 16 A. He does.
- Q. And Mr. Daibes was also very generous with the use of the jet, right?
- 19 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 22 Q. He would let other people use his planes, right?
- THE COURT: Sustained. Relevance. 404.
- MR de CASTRO: I think they're going to hear testimony about that later on.

THE COURT: They very well may. Ask your next question.

3 BY MR de CASTRO:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

- Q. With respect to his plane, does Mr. Daibes pay for the upkeep of it?
 - A. He does.
- Q. And what other expenses are involved in having a private plane? What do you have to pay for?
- A. Fuel, pilot.

MR. MARK: Objection. Relevance.

THE COURT: Yes. Relevance. Move on.

MR de CASTRO: Judge, I'm happy to, at sidebar, explain the relevance.

THE COURT: No, not now.

It's expensive to have your own private plane, right?

THE WITNESS: Sure is.

THE COURT: There are a lot of costs involved.

THE WITNESS: There is.

THE COURT: That's why everybody here doesn't have their own private planes, correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

22 THE COURT: All right. Next. You've made the

point -- or I've made the point. Next.

MR de CASTRO: There is an additional point.

THE COURT: Move on.

1 BY MR de CASTRO:

- Q. Now, you use WhatsApp to communicate?
- 3 | A. I do.

2

- 4 | Q. It's an alternative to sort of regular texting, right?
- 5 MR. MARK: Objection. Scope.
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 7 BY MR de CASTRO:
 - Q. Part of your job -- do you ever use WhatsApp as part of
- 9 your job?
- MR. MARK: Objection.
- 11 THE COURT: I'll allow that.
- 12 | A. I don't.
- 13 THE COURT: I thought you said you had three or four
- 14 questions, sir.
- 15 MR de CASTRO: On the prior topic, on the real estate.
- 16 THE COURT: All right.
- 17 | MR de CASTRO: I was not --
- 18 THE COURT: That's all right. Proceed.
- 19 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 20 | Q. Mr. Daibes, you would say, is a Democrat, right?
- 21 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 23 BY MR de CASTRO:
- 24 | Q. He supports -- Mr. Daibes supports democratic candidates in
- 25 New Jersey, is that correct?

1 MR. MARK: Objection.

2 MR de CASTRO: -- fund-raisers --

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

You may answer. I'm sorry.

- A. Yes, he is.
- Q. And he helps raise funds for democratic candidates, is that correct?
- 8 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
 - A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

- Q. And those include locally elected office as well as other offices, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. I think you testified on direct examination about a
- 14 | fund-raiser that he did for Mr. Menendez?
- 15 | THE COURT: She did. What's the next question, sir?
- 16 A. I did.
- THE COURT: There's no question pending. The jury
 will disregard.
- Next question. This witness is here to answer your questions.
- 21 BY MR de CASTRO:
- Q. For the 15 years that you've worked for Mr. Daibes, have you known him to travel to Washington, D.C. often?
- MR. MARK: Objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Scope. Sustained.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 BY MR de CASTRO:

- 2 | Q. You testified that you are aware that Mr. Daibes and
- 3 Senator Menendez have become friends over the years?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you've observed -- you have had the opportunity to
- 6 | observe them together, correct?
- 7 A. I have.

- Q. Like at fund-raisers that we discussed, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. And at informal dinners as well, is that right?
- 11 A. That is right.
- 12 | Q. And did they appear to be close friends?
- 13 A. They have.
- 14 | Q. Why would you say that?
- 15 | A. They have a long history of being friends, and I mean they
- 16 | joke, they laugh, they hang out together. It's a genuine
- 17 | friendship, I think.
- 18 MR de CASTRO: I have nothing else, Judge.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
- 20 Anyone else?
- 21 Mr. Lustberg, sir.
- MR. LUSTBERG: Thank you, your Honor.
- 23 | THE COURT: How long?
- 24 MR. LUSTBERG: Very brief.
- 25 CROSS-EXAMINATION

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

- 1 BY MR. LUSTBERG:
- 2 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Maali.
- 3 A. Good afternoon.
- 4 Q. You testified that you worked part time for IS EG Halal,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- Q. And that was for the time period from April 2019 through
- 8 | about January 2020, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. And that was right at the beginning of the start-up of IS
- 11 | EG Halal, am I right about that?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And so what you did is you helped to set up the office, is
- 14 | that right?
- 15 | A. That's right.
- 16 Q. And you hired employees, right?
- 17 A. I did help with that, yes.
- 18 THE COURT: I take it -- well, did you hire employees,
- 19 or did you assist in the process of Mr. Hana hiring employees?
- 20 THE WITNESS: I assisted in helping hire employees.
- 21 BY MR. LUSTBERG:
- 22 | Q. Thank you. Thank you for that clarification.
- 23 You were sort of like an office manager at that time,
- 24 | right?
- 25 A. Correct.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 | Q. And you had check-writing authority, am I correct?

A. I did.

- Q. And that's because Will was always traveling and so he
- 4 | trusted you to write the checks, right?
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 7 THE COURT: I'll allow it. I'll allow it.
- 8 BY MR. LUSTBERG:
- 9 Q. Did you notice Mr. -- just from your own observations, see
- 10 Will studying about the halal business?
- 11 A. From my own observation?
- 12 | Q. Yes.
- 13 A. I did not see him studying.
- 14 Q. OK. OK. Did he seem to understand the business?
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
- MR. MARK: Objection.
- 17 MR. LUSTBERG: Let me ask it a different way then.
- Q. What was your impression as to Mr. Hana's expertise with regard to halal?
- 20 A. He's --
- 21 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Wait just a moment.
- 23 Did you ask halal questions on direct of this witness?
- 24 MR. MARK: I did ask --
- 25 THE COURT: All right. I'll allow this.

Maali - Cross

O5sWmen2

A. He seemed very knowledgeable.

Q. Thank you.

1

- You understood that he had gotten a contract, a sole-source
- 4 contract to do halal certification to Egypt, right?
- 5 A. That's what he said, yes.
- Q. And you understood that Egypt got some of the money from
- 7 | that sole-source contract, right?
- 8 A. I'm sorry? You said that I understood that Egypt got --
- 9 | Q. Yeah.
- 10 A. I didn't understand that.
- 11 MR. LUSTBERG: OK. Let me -- if we could pull up
- 12 | what's previously been marked -- admitted into evidence as
- 13 Government Exhibit 506.
- 14 | Q. If we could, you see the top part of that is an email from
- 15 | you to Mr. Hana and others, right?
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 | Q. And if you go to the --
- 18 THE COURT: You have to say yes or no.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 BY MR. LUSTBERG:
- 21 | Q. If you could go to the bottom part, if you could -- thank
- 22 you -- this talks about dividing the payment. Do you remember
- 23 | that?
- 24 A. Vaquely.
- 25 \parallel Q. OK. What do you remember?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
A. Well, now that I read it, I remember the breakdown that they have.
```

MR. LUSTBERG: OK. Thank you --

THE COURT: Did you type this? No. You received this.

THE WITNESS: I received this -- actually, I didn't receive this. I was on the -- I was put on the chain, I guess, later on.

Can you scroll down?

Yeah. I was asked to send out wire instructions, so I was put on the chain afterwards.

THE COURT: In other words, you understood that because you see it there.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: OK. Go ahead.

BY MR. LUSTBERG:

- Q. And I just wanted to clarify or ask a question about one thing you said on direct examination. Were you aware of the relationship between Mr. Hana's company, IS EG Halal, and the company called Medi Trade in Egypt?
- A. I didn't understand the relationship, no.

MR. LUSTBERG: OK. Thank you.

Q. OK. So when you came aboard in 2019, you arranged for Mr. Hana to travel to meet all the meat producers around the U.S. Do you remember your testimony about that?

O5sWmen2

Maali - Cross

1151

- 1 A. I helped with travel arrangements.
- 2 | Q. You were not on those trips, right?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 Q. What was the purpose of those trips, as far as you
- 5 understood?
- 6 THE COURT: If she knows, I'll allow it.
- A. To make introduction to the new company that's going to be certifying halal meats to Egypt.
 - Q. As you understood it, Mr. Hana was working with the other meat producers, right?
- 11 A. Correct.

9

- 12 | Q. And you were asked questions on direct examination about
- 13 whether you had been involved in the hiring of any blessers,
- 14 people called blessers, at these meat producers. Do you
- 15 remember that?
- 16 A. I remember the question.
- 17 | Q. Yeah. And you said you didn't know that, right?
- 18 | A. No, I don't.
- 19 Q. Right. Do you know who hires blessers, whether it's the
- 20 | halal certifier or the meat producer?
- 21 MR. MARK: Objection. Foundation.
- 22 Q. If you know.
- 23 THE COURT: No. I'll allow it, if she knows.
- 24 A. I don't believe it was Will. I don't remember --
- 25 THE COURT: No, no. That's not the question. The

05sWmen2 Maali - Cross

question is do you know who hires blessers, whether it's the 1 halal certifier or the meat producer? Do you know?

- From what I understood it was the meat producer.
- Now, were you involved with helping IS EG Halal to open up 4
- 5 branches in other countries, like Uruguay, let's say?
- Was I involved? 6 Α.
- 7 Q. Yeah.

2

- In what sense? 8 Α.
- 9 Were you involved in any way in the process whereby those 10 offices were opened up?
- I don't remember having any involvement, no. 11
- 12 OK. Now, you did assist Mr. Hana in terms of having
- 13 certain communications with people in Egypt. Am I right about
- 14 that?
- When I was asked? 15 Α.
- 16 0. Yes.
- 17 Α. Yup.
- 18 Q. When you were asked.
- 19 Uh-huh. Α.
- 20 THE COURT: You have to say yes.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 THE COURT: Or no.
- 23 BY MR. LUSTBERG:
- 24 Q. Whichever.
- 25 And you were aware that Mr. Hana had relationships, various

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 | relationships with people in Egypt, am I right?

- A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And that he would take direction from people in Egypt,
- 4 | correct?

- 5 A. You mean, like, did he answer to anybody?
- Q. Well, just whether he, whether there were instructions
- 7 given to him or, you know, any kind of direction.
- 8 A. I know that he communicated a lot with people in Egypt.
- 9 Q. OK. Back for one second to, I asked you a question
- 10 | earlier -- and Mr. Mark did as well -- about Medi Trade and
- 11 | there was, you were asked about how there was, it said Medi
- 12 | Trade on the awning of the IS EG Halal entrance to the
- 13 | building. Remember that?
- 14 A. I do.
- 15 | Q. Did you know what that Medi Trade referred to?
- 16 | A. I don't.
- 17 Q. OK. Did you know that Will was setting up a business
- 18 called Medi Trade in the U.S.?
- 19 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 BY MR. LUSTBERG:
- 22 | Q. Are you aware that Mr. Hana has a business called EG Trade?
- 23 A. I am.
- 24 | Q. Is that, to your knowledge, on the awning?
- 25 MR. MARK: Objection.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 A. I don't recall.

2 THE COURT: I'll allow it.

3 BY MR. LUSTBERG:

- Q. You don't know whether it's on the awning?
- 5 A. I don't remember if it's on there, no.
- 6 Q. OK. That EG Trade, though, operates out of that same
- 7 | building, 125 River Road in Edgewater, right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. The awning also said something about LEG, if you remember.
- 10 Do you know what that is?
- 11 | A. I don't. I don't.
- 12 | Q. OK. Now, Will wanted you to stay on as the permanent
- 13 office manager, but you decided to go back to Mr. Daibes's
- 14 | operation, right?
- 15 MR. MARK: Objection to form.
- 16 THE COURT: Yes. Sustained.
- 17 You never left Mr. Daibes's operation, correct?
- 18 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't.
- 19 THE COURT: You were doing this part time for IS EG
- 20 | Halal in order to make extra money, if I understand your
- 21 | testimony.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 23 BY MR. LUSTBERG:
- 24 | Q. And then around January 2020, you ceased working for IS EG
- 25 | Halal and just again were dedicated full time to Daibes

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 Enterprises, correct?

A. Correct.

- 3 | Q. And that's where you still are, correct?
- 4 A. That's where I still am.
- 5 Q. There were some questions about Nadine Arslanian, and in
- 6 particular, were you aware that Mr. Hana had been friends with
- 7 Nadine Arslanian for many years?
- 8 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 9 THE COURT: I'll allow that, if she knows.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. From long before she was married to Senator Menendez,
- 12 || right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. Do you know whether Ms. Arslanian spoke Arabic?
- 15 A. I believe she did.
- 16 Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Hana or, for that matter,
- 17 Ms. Arslanian describe their relationship as kind of like a
- 18 brother-sister relationship?
- 19 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 BY MR. LUSTBERG:
- 22 Q. Was it a close relationship?
- 23 | A. It was.
- 24 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 25 A. He always referred to her as sister.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 MR. MARK: Objection.

2 THE COURT: I'll allow it. She has things she wants

3 to say.

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 BY MR. LUSTBERG:

Q. You were asked some questions about three --

6 MR. LUSTBERG: This is my last set of questions, your

Honor.

Q. -- about three checks of \$10,000 that were paid to

Ms. Arslanian. Do you remember those questions?

A. I do.

11 Q. OK. And you testified that you didn't see her do any work

for that \$10,000, is that right?

A. I didn't.

Q. Are you aware of what it was that she was supposed to be

15 | doing?

MR. MARK: Objection to form.

THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

MR. LUSTBERG: Let me try a different form then.

Q. Isn't it true that Ms. Arslanian was supposed to be helping

IS EG Halal to set up foreign offices?

MR. MARK: Objection.

THE COURT: Do you know what Ms. Arslanian's job was?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't know. She was --

THE COURT: Next.

BY MR. LUSTBERG:

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

Q. Were you aware that after being on that job for three months, that her contract was terminated?

A. I was.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

MR. MARK: Objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

BY MR. LUSTBERG:

Q. Do you know why?

MR. MARK: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. LUSTBERG: I have nothing further, your Honor.

Thank you very much.

12 THE COURT: Thank you.

■ CROSS-EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. WEITZMAN:

- O. Good afternoon, Ms. Maali.
- 16 A. Good afternoon.
- 17 Q. My name is Avi Weitzman. I represent Senator Menendez.
- 18 You and I have never met before, right?
- 19 | A. No.
- 20 Q. You currently work at DBR Management, correct?
- 21 A. I currently work at Daibes Enterprises.
- 22 | Q. And one of the subsidiaries of Daibes Enterprises is DBR
- 23 | Management?
- 24 A. Yes.

25

Q. And that's the managing company of the properties that Mr.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 Daibes has developed in Edgewater and elsewhere, right?

A. Right.

- 3 Q. DBR Enterprises and DBR Management manages approximately
- 4 | 11,000 rental units, is that correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Are you familiar with the buildings that they manage,
- 7 Daibes Enterprises?
- 8 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 9 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 10 | A. I am.
- 11 | Q. Would you recognize those buildings?
- 12 A. I would.
- MR. WEITZMAN: Can we put up for the judge, attorneys
- 14 and witness Defense Exhibit 101A.
- 15 Q. Do you recognize this building?
- 16 A. I do.
- 17 | Q. What is it?
- 18 A. That's the St. Moritz.
- 19 Q. Is that --
- 20 A. Now the Riello.
- 21 | Q. Is this a fair and accurate picture of the St. Moritz or
- 22 now the Riello?
- 23 A. It is.
- 24 MR. WEITZMAN: We offer Defense Exhibit 101A.
- 25 MR. MARK: Objection. Scope.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 THE COURT: Yes. Scope?

MR. WEITZMAN: She testified about her job at Daibes Enterprises, and this is the work of Daibes Enterprises.

MR. MARK: Your Honor, we weren't given notice that they were going to offer this exhibit with this witness at this point in time.

MR. WEITZMAN: This was a photograph in a series of exhibits that I gave them last night.

THE COURT: All right. Let's move on. Move on. Next question.

MR. WEITZMAN: Just wondering whether I can go through the photos. I have five of them.

THE COURT: I think there's an objection to the admission.

Is that correct?

MR. MARK: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. WEITZMAN: You may take that down, Mr. Kelly.

Q. Are you familiar with a project that Fred Daibes and Daibes Enterprises was developing at 115-145 River --

THE COURT: All right. Sidebar.

MR. MARK: Objection.

(Continued on next page)

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Maali - Cross

1 (At sidebar)

> MR. WEITZMAN: I was just going to show her the rendering and ask her if that's the rendering of the property. I'm not getting into Qatar. I'm not getting into any applications involving Qatar.

> > THE COURT: What's the purpose of this line?

MR. WEITZMAN: It's so that we can have a visual as to the project that was being developed. This is a core piece of their case, and I think a visual is appropriate.

THE COURT: Not through her.

What does the government have to say?

MR. MARK: First, it's outside the scope, and on more particular objections, it's irrelevant at this point in time.

THE COURT: It seems to me you can, again, bring it back on your own case. I don't really see any purpose here. Nobody needs a visual of the project. The project isn't at issue here with her.

Where else are you going with it? What else do you have?

MR. WEITZMAN: I have a bit on Nadine and the relationship with Nadine, Nadine and Fred and their relationship.

THE COURT: But didn't we just do that?

MR. WEITZMAN: There are some, few questions.

THE COURT: I'd ask you to make sure that you're not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going constantly over and over the same thing.

MR. WEITZMAN: And I'm trying not to, but I understand your Honor's ruling. We'll bring her back in our defense case.

THE COURT: All right. But you have how she really wanted to get out, so I let her get out, everybody's friends and so forth.

What else do you have?

MR. WEITZMAN: Another aspect is that Daibes referred a real estate broker to Nadine, and I'd like to elicit the guy's name and her understanding as to what Nadine was looking to sell. She was looking to sell her home.

MR. MARK: That's the same issue as scope.

THE COURT: I think it is a scope violation.

MR. WEITZMAN: Did you offer the text messages?

MR. MARK: No.

THE COURT: The cross is limited to the scope of the direct. I know you want to get extra stuff out. Now is not the time.

MR. WEITZMAN: I have one document that I previewed with them that I was going to have her identify for Daibes's handwriting and signature.

MR. MARK: I think that's the same scope issue as before.

MR. WEITZMAN: She was his executive assistant for 15 years.

1	THE COURT: What's the purpose of that?
2	MR. WEITZMAN: It's going to be subject to connection
3	later, because this is a right of first refusal document that
4	Fred Daibes executed with Nadine to purchase her home from her.
5	THE COURT: You want her to identify his handwriting?
6	I'll allow that.
7	MR. MARK: There's a little context with that
8	particular exhibit. I think Mr. Richenthal can address that.
9	MR. RICHENTHAL: We have very significant concerns
10	about the authenticity of that document. I think if
11	Mr. Weitzman wants to ask without describing it in any way and
12	with the witness being directed not to describe it in any way,
13	do you recognize the handwriting on this document, that would
14	be fine. But she should not describe the document. There is
15	context here that we can get into if the Court would like that
16	is very concerning.
17	THE COURT: No, I don't need to now. Just ask
18	MR. WEITZMAN: Yes.
19	THE COURT: What else? I don't want more sidebars
20	here. What else.
21	MR. WEITZMAN: There's one or two questions about her
22	time at IS EG Halal, and that's it.
23	THE COURT: Let's go.
24	MR. FEE: Your Honor, can I make one note for the
25	record so we avoid another side bar? I'm sorry.

O5sWmen2

Maali - Cross

1163

1 I don't think it's appropriate for the government to 2 stand up in front of the jury and say we didn't give them 3 notice of exhibits. We did. 4 THE COURT: I didn't even catch that. Yes, you're 5 quite right. 6 MR. FEE: Thank you, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: This inter stuff is not for the jury. 8 MR. RICHENTHAL: Can I make a suggestion? 9 When Mr. Weitzman asks the question about the 10 document, given that this witness seems enthusiastic, could he 11 direct her not to describe it? 12 MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, I will. 13 THE COURT: Let's go. How much longer? 14 MR. WEITZMAN: Five minutes. 15 (Continued on next page) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 (In open court)

2 BY MR. WEITZMAN:

- 3 Q. Ms. Maali, you testified briefly about the relationship
- 4 between Fred Daibes and the senator, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And about Fred Daibes and Nadine, do you recall that?
- 7 A. I do.
- Q. Are you aware whether Fred Daibes knew Nadine before she
- 9 started dating Senator Menendez?
- 10 A. I knew that he knew of her.
- 11 Q. And are you aware that Nadine and her family used to go for
- 12 | years to his restaurant Le Jardin?
- MR. MARK: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 15 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 16 Q. Are you aware whether Nadine and her family visited Le
- 17 | Jardin before she met Senator Menendez?
- 18 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 19 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Do you know for how long Nadine and her family visited --
- 22 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 23 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Move on. Clearly hearsay,
- 25 BY MR. WEITZMAN:

Maali - Cross

1165 O5sWmen2

After the senator and Nadine started dating, are you aware whether Nadine and Mr. Daibes had phone conversations, just the two of them?

MR. MARK: Objection to form. Hearsay.

MR. WEITZMAN: I'm not asking about the substance.

THE COURT: Yes. I'll allow it.

They have --Α.

THE COURT: Are you -- I'm sorry.

Go ahead.

- A. -- had conversations.
- 11 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 12 I'm sorry. I couldn't hear the answer.
- 13 They have had conversations. Α.
- 14 Q. And you've witnessed them having one-on-one conversations
- 15 without the senator by phone?
- 16 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 17 THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait. If it's a one-on-one
- 18 and it's by phone, how do you know it's one-on-one?
- 19 THE WITNESS: If she would call the office, I would
- 20 transfer it to his --
- 21 THE COURT: You don't know who's on the other end of
- 22 the line.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Of course I do. I pick up the phone.
- 24 THE COURT: I mean there could be other people there,
- 25 but let's move on.

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 BY MR. WEITZMAN:

- 2 | Q. Have you seen, have you ever observed Fred and Nadine
- 3 meeting in private without the senator, like a lunch or dinner
- 4 or visit to the office?
- 5 A. Not that I'm aware of.
 - Q. How would you describe Fred's relationship with Nadine?
- 7 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
- 9 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 10 Q. Were you able to observe a relationship between Fred and
- 11 Nadine?

- 12 A. They had become friendly.
- 13 | Q. Has Fred told you that he's fond of her?
- 14 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 17 | Q. Has he told you about whether he wants to help Nadine?
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 MR. MARK: Objection.
- 20 MR. WEITZMAN: I'm showing you what's been -- can we
- 21 | put up on the screen for the witness, the judge and counsel
- 22 Defense Exhibit 607 for identification purposes only.
- 23 Q. I don't want you to read any part of the document. I'm
- 24 | just going to ask you two very brief questions.
- 25 First, do you recognize whose handwriting is on the

O5sWmen2 Maali - Cross

1 | document?

2

- A. Fred's.
- Q. OK. And do you recognize the signature on this document,
- 4 | the one on the left side of the document?
- 5 | A. Fred's.
 - Q. And when you say Fred, you mean Fred Daibes?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 MR. WEITZMAN: You can put that down. Thank you.
- 9 THE COURT: Let me look at that. Just me.
- 10 All right. Take it down.
- 11 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 12 Q. A few very short questions on your time at IS EG. I think
- 13 you've already made clear you were working there part time,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Where were you working more frequently? How many more
- 17 | hours -- where were you working more hours in the day -- at IS
- 18 | EG or Daibes -- when you were part time at each?
- 19 A. Daibes.
- 20 | Q. And your primary role when you were working at IS EG, was
- 21 | that to hire the people who worked on the halal certificate?
- 22 | THE COURT: What was your primary role while you were
- 23 working at IS EG Halal?
- 24 THE WITNESS: I was office manager. I would only be
- able to hire somebody that did data entry. Anything above that

```
1
      would be above my pay grade.
 2
               MR. WEITZMAN: One moment, your Honor?
 3
               Thank you, Ms. Maali.
 4
               THE COURT: Any redirect?
 5
               MR. MARK: No, your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may step down,
7
     Ms. Maali. You're excused.
8
               (Witness excused)
 9
               THE COURT: Next witness for the government.
10
               MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, before we call our next
11
      witness, I'd like to offer several items of evidence pursuant
12
      to stipulation.
13
               THE COURT:
                          Yes.
14
               MR. MONTELEONI: All right. So, we have several items
15
      of evidence to offer before the next witness, both pursuant to
16
      stipulation and some other documents.
17
               First, the government offers Government Exhibits 8A1,
18
      8B3, 8B6, 8B8, 8B10, 8B12, 8B16, 8B19, 8B20, 8B21, 8B22, 12B1,
19
      12B2, 12B3 and 12B4.
20
               MR. WEITZMAN: No objection, your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.
22
               (Government Exhibits 8A1, 8B3, 8B6, 8B8, 8B10, 8B12,
      8B16, 8B19, 8B20, 8B21, 8B22, 12B1, 12B2, 12B3 and 12B4
23
24
      received in evidence)
25
               MR. MONTELEONI: Next, the government offers 8A12,
```

8A13 and 8A15.

MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, we object.

THE COURT: I thought this was all by stipulation.

MR. MONTELEONI: Well, sorry. These are the ones not covered by stipulation. We can offer them subject to connection if the Court requires, but they're actually self-authenticating under 902(1). If the Court wishes, I can show you.

THE COURT: The representation, I thought, was this was going to be formalistic and everything had been stipulated to.

MR. MONTELEONI: Almost everything. We're getting to a lot that hasn't been stipulated, but we can offer them subject to connection for now.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MONTELEONI: All right.

Then we have a list of exhibits marked for identification as Government Exhibit 1330 that lists a number of stipulations that each authenticate a number of documents. I believe this list has just been produced today, so you might not have it yet, your Honor. If you wish, I can give you a copy.

There it is. Sorry.

So with the Court's permission, we propose to offer this list, the stipulations cited in this list and the exhibits

1 on t

on the list that are authenticated by these stipulations in evidence now pursuant to the list and then to read from the stipulations and from the exhibits, as necessary, during the proceedings.

THE COURT: Yes. Admitted. You want me to admit Government Exhibit 1330.

MR. MONTELEONI: All right. So the defense has raised a question about one particular document, so my understanding is we will offer it subject to a question about Government Exhibit 3A20, which we'll come back to.

THE COURT: What are you asking me to do, sir?

MR. MONTELEONI: Sorry, your Honor. I'm asking you to admit Government Exhibit 1330.

THE COURT: Admitted.

(Government Exhibit 1330 received in evidence)

MR. MONTELEONI: Right. Subject to a reservation about 3A20 as well as the reservations pursuant to a pending motion about redactions. It will be subject to that. That won't come up right now.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, I don't want to interrupt the flow, but I will want to discuss this afterwards. We can do it outside the presence of the jury.

THE COURT: The representation was made to me that this was just pro forma, we're going to now move on. That's

O5sWmen2

25

New York office.

Coughlin - Direct

one thing, but it doesn't look like that's the case. Move on. 1 2 MR. MONTELEONI: Finally, the government offers 3 B224-1, B224-2, B224-A, 3C10, which I understand are also without objection. 4 5 MR. LUSTBERG: No objection, Judge. THE COURT: Admitted. 6 7 (Government Exhibits B224-1, B224-2, B224-A, and 3C10 received in evidence) 8 9 MR. MONTELEONI: All right. Thank you, your Honor. 10 Now the government calls Special Agent Michael 11 Coughlin. 12 MICHAEL F. COUGHLIN, 13 called as a witness by the government, 14 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 15 THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir, and welcome. Please 16 speak loudly, slowly and clearly into the microphone. 17 Your witness, sir. 18 MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you, your Honor. 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. MONTELEONI: 21 Are you currently employed? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Where do you work? Q. 24 I work for the Federal Bureau of Investigation here in the 05sWmen2

Coughlin - Direct

- 1 | Q. What is your title with the FBI?
- 2 A. I'm a special agent.
- 3 | Q. How long have you been at the FBI?
- 4 A. I've been a special agent for approximately seven and a
- 5 half years.
- 6 | Q. What did you do before you were at the FBI?
- 7 A. Prior to the FBI I was a high school teacher for about 12
- 8 years and a very part-time attorney.
- 9 Q. What unit are you currently assigned to in the FBI?
- 10 A. I work with the public corruption unit.
- 11 | Q. Have you ever heard of a senator named Robert Menendez?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Was the public corruption unit that you joined involved in
- 14 an investigation of Robert Menendez?
- 15 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 16 | Q. What did you primarily do in connection with the
- 17 | investigation?
- 18 A. I was -- I was not really involved in the investigation.
- 19 Q. What did you do to prepare to testify today?
- 20 | A. To prepare to testify today, I reviewed various documents,
- 21 government exhibits as well as some of the actual underlying
- 22 documents.
- 23 | Q. Were you asked to review a summary document before
- 24 | testifying today?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. Who drafted this summary document?
- 2 A. The government -- the United States Attorney's Office.
- 3 | Q. What did you do to review it?
- 4 A. I -- it was a lengthy process. I reviewed the entirety of
- 5 the document, reviewed the underlying government exhibits that
- 6 the document is based on, so reviewed the contents of every
- 7 | line of the summary exhibit to determine its accuracy.
- 8 Q. And in determining its accuracy, did you verify that all
- 9 | the information in the summary document is drawn from those
- 10 source exhibits that you referenced?
- 11 | A. I did, yes.
- 12 | Q. Are all of the source documents that the summary document
- 13 refers to cited in the summary document?
- 14 A. They are, yes.
- 15 | Q. About how many of those source documents that are cited are
- 16 government exhibits?
- 17 A. I believe they're all government exhibits, yes.
- 18 | Q. What types of government exhibits did you review generally
- 19 | in verifying this summary document?
- 20 | A. So, it included cell phone records, which may be, you know,
- 21 chats that were extracted from cell phones, full chat
- 22 conversations. There were photos that would be attached to
- 23 | things like that. There were emails. Yeah.
- 24 | Q. When you reference phone records, did you also review
- 25 records of phone calls, voice calls?

- 1 A. Correct, yeah, cell phone carrier records, yeah.
- Q. Collectively, just rough ballpark, about how many pages were these text messages?
- 4 A. Some of the text message conversations were hundreds of
- 5 pages. The cell phone records, some of the PDFs were over a
- 7 Q. Who made the decision what exhibits you would review?
- 8 A. The U.S. Attorney's Office.

thousand pages, I believe.

- 9 Q. Do you believe that you reviewed all of the documents in this case?
- 11 A. No.

- Q. Who made the decision what exhibits would be referred to in the summary document?
- 14 A. The U.S. Attorney's Office.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please show the witness the document that's been marked for identification as

 Government Exhibit 1302.
- 18 Q. Special Agent Coughlin, do you recognize this document?
- 19 | A. I do.
- 20 | Q. What type of document is this?
- 21 A. This is a summary chart.
- 22 | Q. Is this the summary document that you were asked to verify?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you verify all of the information in this chart against the government exhibits cited in the chart?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

A. I did, yes.

MR. MONTELEONI: So, subject to the pending motion regarding redactions, we offer Government Exhibit 1302 in evidence.

THE COURT: Admitted.

(Government Exhibit 1302 received in evidence)

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish the first page.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, what are we looking at here?
- A. This is the summary exhibit, summary document. As -- summary chart. As you can see, there's one, two, three, four, five, six columns.
- Q. Directing your attention first to the top line, where it says December 13, 2017, to January 29, 2022, what does that range mean?
 - A. That is the range of the chart, meaning the information that's in the chart is from that time period.
 - Q. Who picked that date range?
- 19 A. The United States Attorney's Office.
- 20 | Q. Do you know why they picked that date range?
- 21 | A. I don't.
- Q. Do you know one way or another whether anything relevant to this case happened outside that date range?
- 24 | A. I don't know one way or another, no.
- 25 | Q. So, the date range is from December 13, 2017, to January

- 29, 2022. Does the chart list everything that was shown on all of the records you reviewed that happened between those dates?
 - A. No.

- Q. And who made the decisions on which events between those dates to include on the chart?
- 6 A. The U.S. Attorney's Office.
- Q. Directing your attention to the date column, what does the date column reflect?
- 9 A. The date column reflects the date of the event that
 10 occurred. In many cases it's a text or a call or an email.
- Q. Directing your attention to the time column, what does that column reflect?
- A. Again, the time that that event happened. In the case of a phone call, it would be the time it started, if that occurred, and that's Eastern time.
 - O. And is that what the letters ET after time mean?
- 17 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Were all the underlying source documents that you checked this chart against, were they all already in Eastern time?
- 20 A. They -- not all of them, no, they were not.
- Q. How did you check that the times were correctly converted to Eastern time?
- 23 A. I manually checked the times.
- Q. Now, were there any entries that did not have a time listed on that date?

- 1 A. There were a few, yes.
- 2 \ Q. So where did those entries go chronologically?
- 3 A. If there was no time, we put it at the end of the day for
- 4 | that date.
- 5 | Q. Now, what do the from and to columns reflect?
- A. Fairly self-explanatory, but from is the person who either
 made the phone call or sent the text message or the email. The
- 8 to is the recipient.
- 9 Q. Directing your attention to the detail column, and I'm
 10 looking at the first row, at that first word, "text," colon,
- 11 what does it mean that the word "text" is written there with a
- 12 | colon?
- 13 A. It means this line, this entry was a text message.
- 14 | Q. And so we'll come back to what's in the middle of this
- 15 detail column, but if you look at the end of the detail cell on
- 16 the first row, there's this parenthetical. Could you please
- 17 read that parenthetical at the end of the first row, detail
- 18 column?
- 19 A. Yes. GXA101-1.
- 20 | Q. What does that mean?
- 21 A. That is the government exhibit that this, this detail or
- 22 this whole line came from.
- 23 | Q. So now looking at the middle of the cell after the word
- 24 | "text" and before the citation, there's some words in quotation
- 25 marks. What does it mean that they're in quotation marks?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Coughlin - Direct

- 1 The quotation marks came directly from the text message 2 record that we had.
 - Does this quoted language in this cell include everything that's in Government Exhibit A101-1?
 - Not necessarily, no.
 - OK. Who decided what portions of text messages to quote in this chart?
 - The United States Attorney's Office.
 - Did you verify that these quoted portions were, in fact, found in the text messages?
- 11 Α. I did, yes.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Now, Mr. Hamill, could you please expand the whole page, including the margins.
 - So, at the bottom left of the first page, where it says Q. additional name attribution, GX1305, what does that mean?
 - That's a -- another summary chart that I reviewed.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: OK. Let's take a look at Government Exhibit 1305, but first, Mr. Hamill, could you please put up Government Exhibit 1449, page 1, a stipulation.

I will read paragraph one of Government Exhibit 1449:

"The document marked for identification as Government Exhibit 1305 is a true and accurate summary of phone numbers, emails and iCloud addresses used by the users identified in Government Exhibit 1305."

One moment. All right. We offer Government Exhibit

05sWmen2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Coughlin - Direct

1 | 1449 and 1305 in evidence.

THE COURT: Admitted.

(Government Exhibits 1305 and 1449 received in evidence)

- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up Government Exhibit 1305.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read the title at the top of the first page?
- A. "Names, phone numbers and email and iCloud addresses."

MR. MONTELEONI: Let's look at an example.

- 11 Mr. Hamill, could you please take us to page 2 and expand the 12 top half of the page.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the row for Menendez, Robert, and directing your attention to the phone column for that row, directing your attention to the first listed phone number in that row, ending in 8400, did you rely
- on the information in this chart as a source in verifying
- 18 Government Exhibit 1302?
- 19 A. Yes.

- Q. And does that apply for other of the phone numbers, email addresses, etc., in this chart?
 - A. Exactly, yes.
- MR. MONTELEONI: All right. Mr. Hamill, could you please put back up Government Exhibit 1302, page 1.
- 25 Q. Now, for some of the rows on this chart, Government Exhibit

1	1302, did you need Government Exhibit 1305 to attribute a name,
2	or did the documents themselves include the name?
3	A. In some instances, yes, the document itself included the
4	name. For example, an email might have a signature, something
5	like that.
6	MR. MONTELEONI: All right. So before we get started
7	with this chart, I want to read from stipulation, Government
8	Exhibit 1430.
9	Mr. Hamill, can you please put up the end of page 1
10	and the beginning of page 2 of Government Exhibit 1430.
11	I will now read paragraphs one, two, three and four:
12	"The document marked for identification as Government
13	Exhibit 2A-1 is a true and accurate photograph of Robert
14	Menendez.
15	"The document marked for identification as Government
16	Exhibit 2A-2 is a true and accurate photograph of Nadine
17	Menendez.
18	"The document marked for identification as Government
19	Exhibit 2A-3 is a true and accurate photograph of Wael Hana.
20	"The document marked for identification as Government
21	Exhibit 2A-4 is a true and accurate photograph of Fred Daibes."
22	Mr. Richenthal, can you please put up reproductions of
23	Government Exhibit 2A-1, 2A-2, 2A-3 and 2A-4, and when you do
24	so, can you please put up the associated names.

THE COURT: Move on.

O5sWmen2

Coughlin - Direct

MR. MONTELEONI: All right. Thank you. Mr. Hamill, could you please take us back to Government Exhibit 1302, page 1. Q. So I'd like to go through the first few rows summarized on the chart and then go into a few in more detail. Directing your attention to row one, listed as December 31, 2017, at 7:22 a.m., who is listed as the sender? A. Nadine Arslanian. Q. Who is listed as the recipient? A. Robert Menendez. (Continued on next page)

- Q. Can you please read the details cell starting with the word
 "text."
- 3 A. "I want to wish you all the very, very best for the new
- 4 | year. In four and a half hours it is going to be your
- 5 | birthday. I will text you happy birthday and I hope it will be
- 6 the best year ever for you and I would like to take you out for
- 7 | lunch for your birthday. I am looking forward to catching up.
- 8 | Nadine."
- 9 Q. Directing your attention to the next line, row 2. What's date and time?
- 11 A. December 31, 2017, at 8:21 p.m.
- 12 | Q. About how long is that after the text you just read?
- 13 A. About 13 hours.
- 14 Q. Can you please read what this line quotes Robert Menendez
- 15 user as texting to the Nadine Arslanian user.
- 16 | A. "Would love to get together but as said once before, I
- 17 don't want to interfere with your boyfriend."
- 18 | Q. What is the date of row 3?
- 19 A. January 31, 2018.
- 20 \parallel Q. About how long is that after the date of the previous line.
- 21 A. A month.
- 22 | Q. Were there other texts between the Nadine Arslanian user
- 23 and the Robert Menendez user?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Could you please read what this line indicates that the

2

3

Nadine Arslanian user texts to the Robert Menendez user at 4:45 p.m. on January 31, 2018.

- A. "Now reelection" with four exclamation points.
- 4 Q. Could you please read what the next lists as Robert
- 5 Menendez's response.
- 6 A. "Yes, are you around on Friday?"
- Q. Directing your attention to the next line. Can you please read what this lists as Nadine Arslanian's response?
- 9 A. "Yes, I will be."
- 10 Q. So, you just read a text saying are you around Friday.
- 11 | What day of the week was February 2nd, 2018?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, can you please show just the witness, the parties, and the Court the documents marked
- 15 | for identification Government Exhibits 10J-1 and 10J-2.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, have you reviewed those prior to
- 17 | testifying?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. What are those?
- 20 A. They're calendars from 2018 and '19 I believe.
- 21 MR. MONTELEONI: The government offers Government
- 22 Exhibits 10J-1 and 10J-2 in evidence.
- 23 THE COURT: Admitted without objection.
- 24 | (Government's Exhibit 10J-1, 10J-2 received in
- 25 | evidence)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, can you please publish Government Exhibit 10J-1 and expand February of 2018.

- Q. So, Special Agent Coughlin, when the text says "are you around Friday," what day of the week was February 2 of 2018?
- A. That was a Friday.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, can you please put back up Government Exhibit 1302, page 1.
- Q. Directing your attention to line 6 listed as February 2, 2018, at 6 p.m. Can you please read the detail cell.
- A. Yes. It the says "call 58 seconds."
- 11 Q. What does that mean?
- 12 A. It means a phone call was placed, the cell phone records
 13 show that.
- Q. So the citation for that entry is GX 6A-112. What type of document is?
- 16 A. Those were cell phone records from the carrier.
- 17 Q. Let's take a quick look at it.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, can you please publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 6A-112, and go to page 1513 and expand the column headers and also expand the line 27407 under it.
- Q. So, Special Agent Coughlin, what is listed in the sixth column under "terminating number"?
- 24 A. 1-516-395-1745.
- 25 | Q. So from the exhibits you reviewed, whose phone number was

1 | that?

2

5

6

7

8

- A. Nadine Arslanian's.
- Q. Actually, when I ask about the phone numbers, you can just read the last four digits of the phone number.
 - What number's listed in the seventh column under "terminating number"?
 - A. 1745.
 - Q. So, and sorry, on the fifth column under "originating number," what does that end in?
- 10 A. 8400.
- 11 | Q. From the exhibits you reviewed, whose number was that?
- 12 A. That was Robert Menendez's phone number.
- Q. Directing your attention to the column before that, with
- 14 the ET header. What's written there?
- 15 | A. 58 seconds.
- 16 | Q. From reviewing the records from AT&T, what does that mean?
- 17 A. That means how long the call was that was placed.
- 18 Q. Is this the call that was listed on Government Exhibit 1302
- 19 on the line we just looked at?
- 20 A. That's correct, yes.
- 21 | Q. Let's return to the chart.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, can you please put back
 up Government Exhibit 1302, page 1, and expand about the middle
 third of the page.
- 25 Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the

February --

Arslanian?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- THE COURT: Mr. Monteleoni, if you could slow down a bit. When people read, they tend to speed.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the February 2, 2018, 6:03 p.m. line, line 7. What type of message does it list as being sent from Robert Menendez to Nadine
- A. It is a text message of a link.
 - Q. Inside the quotation marks, after the www.google.com/search and after the question mark and the letter Q, could you please read just the words after the letter Q. But when you read it you can just ignore the numbers and special characters in that link that was texted from Robert Menendez.
- A. It says Acappella West Restaurant.
- Q. Directing your attention to the next line, line 8, what time on February 2, 2018, does this line list Nadine Arslanian as sending Robert Menendez a text message?
- 18 A. 11:50 p.m.
- Q. About how long was that after Robert Menendez texted a Google link containing the words Acappella West Restaurant?
- 21 A. That would be almost six hours.
- 22 | Q. What does Nadine Arslanian write in this text?
- 23 | A. "Thank you for a great night."
- Q. Directing your attention to the next line. Could you please read the quoted text listed as Robert Menendez's reply.

- A. "Glad you're home safe. Enjoyed your company. We'll have to do it again" exclamation point.
- 3 Q. I want to ask about some things that happened the next day.
- 4 Directing your attention to row 12 listed as February 3, 2018,
- 5 | the first 12:01 p.m. line. Who is the sender listed on that
- 6 line?

2

- 7 A. Wael Hana.
 - Q. Who is the recipient listed?
- 9 A. Nadine Arslanian.
- 10 | Q. By the way, Special Agent Coughlin, do you have any
- 11 | personal knowledge of these communications, besides just what
- 12 | the documents reflect?
- 13 | A. I don't.
- 14 | Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of any of these events
- 15 | listed here as occurring between December 13, 2017, and
- 16 | January 29, 2022, besides just what the documents reflect?
- 17 A. That's correct, yes, I don't have personal knowledge.
- 18 | Q. What are your answers to my questions about these events
- 19 | based on?
- 20 | A. Based on the documents that I reviewed in this case, a
- 21 record of a text message conversation.
- 22 | Q. Could you please read what Wael Hana is listed as texting
- 23 to Nadine Arslanian.
- 24 A. "What you doing today."
- 25 Q. Directing your attention to the next couple lines. Not

- going to ask you to read them out, but are they messages between Nadine Arslanian and Wael Hana?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 | Q. Directing your attention down to row 15 for the line for
- 5 February 3, 2018, at 12:06 p.m. Could you please read what
- 6 Nadine Arslanian is listed as texting to Wael Hana on this
- 7 | line.
- 8 A. "I think we should be done by then. If so, I will meet
- 9 you."
- 10 Q. Directing your attention to the next line. Can you please
- 11 read what Nadine Arslanian is texting as Wael Hana at 1:22 p.m.
- 12 | A. "I'm done."
- 13 Q. Directing your attention to the next line. What is Wael
- 14 Hana's reply listed as being sent at 1:23 p.m.?
- 15 A. "Be there at 2:45."
- 16 \parallel Q. Two lines down, at 2:42 p.m. what does Nadine Arslanian
- 17 | text Hana?
- 18 A. "I'm back at our table at least for this Saturday LOL."
- 19 | Q. Two lines down, what does Wael Hana text Nadine Arslanian
- 20 at 3:01 p.m.?
- 21 A. "3 M."
- 22 | Q. Two lines after that, what does Nadine Arslanian reply by
- 23 | text at 3:02 p.m.?
- 24 A. "No rush."
- 25 | Q. Can you expand the rest of the page. So now directing your

2

3

18

- attention to the next line, line 24. What's the date and time on the next line?
- A. That's February 3, 2018, at 4:40 p.m.
- Q. About how long is that after the line that we just looked at where the chart reflects Wael Hana texted 3 M and Nadine
- 6 Arslanian texted "no rush"?
- 7 A. It's an hour and 38 minutes.
- Q. So on this February 3, 2018, 4:40 p.m. line, who is listed as the sender?
- 10 A. Nadine Arslanian.
- 11 | Q. And who is listed as the recipient?
- 12 A. Robert Menendez.
- Q. On this line, could you please read the text that's listed as having been sent by Nadine Arslanian to Robert Menendez.
- 15 A. "Do you know Albio Sires, what is your international position."
- Q. By the way, the source for this line is Government Exhibit

A101-4. What type of document is Government Exhibit A101-4?

- 19 A. It is an extraction of a cell phone chat, a conversation.
- 20 | O. Let's take a look.
- MR. MONTELEONI: But first, Mr. Hamill, could you

 please put up page 1 of Government Exhibit 1435. I'll now read

 paragraph 1.
- The physical exhibit marked for identification as

 Government Exhibit A100 is a cell phone assigned the call

1

2

number ending in 8400 which the FBI lawfully seized from Robert Menendez on June 16, 2022, pursuant to a court-authorized

3

search warrant. Government Exhibit A100 is referred to in this

4

stipulation as the Menendez cell phone.

5 6

Mr. Hamill, could you please take us to page 2 of Government Exhibit 1435. I'll now read paragraph 1A.

7 8

Exhibits A101 through A128, including any documents within that

The documents marked for identification as Government

9

range ending with -EX or contained in folders marked with

10

numbers within that range ending in -AF are true and correct

11

copies of records extracted from the Menendez cell phone. So, now, Mr. Hamill, could you please put up

13

12

Government Exhibit A101-4 which is in evidence. And could you

14

please show the jury the bottom text on the first page and then

15

the top text on the second page.

16

Special Agent Coughlin, what are we looking at here?

17

The

So, as I mentioned, this is an extraction from a phone and

18 19 it's sort of made to look like a normal chat conversation.

blue is the incoming messages to the phone, whoever owns that

20

The green are the outgoing messages.

21

Looking at the "to" line. What's the display -- of the blue bubble -- what's the display name listed of the user who

23

22

is identified as the phone's owner?

24

Bob Menendez. Α.

25

Is that number, how does that compare to the number for

O5S3MEN3

Coughlin - Direct

- 1 Menendez that we looked at on Government Exhibit 1305?
- 2 A. It is the same number.
- 3 | Q. And now, directing your attention to the "from" line. Do
- 4 you recognize the number that's listed in the "from" line?
- 5 | A. I do, yes.
- 6 Q. How does that compare with the numbers listed for Nadine
- 7 Menendez or Nadine Arslanian in Government Exhibit 1305?
- 8 A. It's the same.
- 9 Q. What is the display name listed after that number?
- 10 A. It says mon fiance parfait.
- 11 Q. From your review of these reports, where is that display
- 12 | number drawn from?
- 13 A. It's drawn from the contacts of the phone.
- 14 | Q. Do you know when the contact entry for this particular
- 15 phone number was last updated?
- 16 A. I don't recall.
- 17 | Q. From reviewing documents in this case, are you aware that
- 18 | there came a time when Nadine Arslanian became engaged to
- 19 | Robert Menendez?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Had that happened by February 3 of 2018?
- 22 A. Had they been engaged?
- 23 | Q. Yes.
- 24 A. I don't recall.
- 25 | Q. Let's look at the text of that blue bubble reading "Do you

2

3

4

5

9

15

16

know Albio Sires, what's your international position."

How does that text compare to what's listed in Government Exhibit 1302 in the line that we've just been

A. It is the same.

looking at?

- 6 Directing your attention to the green bubble on the top of 7 page 2 of Government Exhibit A101-4. So first who is listed in the "from" line of this green bubble? 8
 - It is the Menendez number and it says Bob Menendez.
- 10 By the way, is this particular exhibit the only time that 11 you have seen a report with this blue bubble green bubble
- 12 format?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Based on your experience reviewing reports in this format,

are the colors of the bubble consistent across the format?

Α.

Yes.

- 17 So for reports in this format, what color is the bubble for 18 texts sent by the device's owner?
- 19 A. Green.
- What color is the bubble for texts sent to the device's 20 21 owner by someone else?
- 22 A. Blue.
- 23 Q. By the way, is that blue bubble green bubble format the 24 only format you've seen text messages in in this case?
- 25 Α. No.

2

3

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

25

- Q. For right now, could you please read the date and time listed in the bottom-right corner of the green bubble.
- A. Yes. February 3, 2018, 4:49:09 p.m.
- Q. How long is that after the text above saying "Do you know Albio Sires, what's your international position."
 - A. It's nine minutes.
 - Q. Could you please read the text of the Bob Menendez user's response to the text saying "Do you know Albio Sires, what's your international position."
- 10 A. "Yes. I know him well. I'm the ranking member which means
 11 senior democrat on the Senate Foreign Relations Committee."

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please take us down to the next text on page 2 of Government Exhibit A101-4.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read the first sentence of the response of the user of this Nadine Menendez cell phone to the Bob Menendez user.
- A. You said the first sentence?
- 18 Q. Just the first sentence.
 - A. "Senator, I'm using Nadine's phone but I'm Andy Aslanian."
- Q. Directing your attention after the sentence mentions Albio on to the second line. Could you please read that next
- 22 sentence from "I am now" down to "Washington, D.C."
- A. "I am now the U.S. attorney for the government of Egypt ministry of defense in EPO office in Washington, D.C."
 - Q. Could you please read the next two sentences.

- 1 A. "We can definitely help you with your election campaign.
- 2 We should get together and those arrangements can be made
- 3 through Nadine."
- 4 Q. Directing your attention to the green bubble, one down,
- 5 could you please read the first two sentences of the Bob
- 6 Menendez user's response from "hello" up to "related."
- 7 A. "Hello Andy. Based on your last name, I guess you're
- 8 related."
- 9 Q. Now, how is Andy Aslanian's last name spelled in the blue
- 10 | text above?
- 11 A. A-S-L-A-N-I-A-N.
- 12 | Q. And Special Agent Coughlin, do you remember how the
- 13 previous last name of Nadine Menendez is spelled?
- 14 A. Yes, they're similar, but Nadine Arslanian has an R before
- 15 | the S.
- 16 | Q. Have you seen any document that shows that Nadine Arslanian
- 17 | is actually related to Andy Aslanian?
- 18 A. No.
- MR. MONTELEONI: By the way, the jury has seen
- 20 Mr. Aslanian's picture last week. So, Mr. Richenthal, could
- 21 | you please put up Government Exhibit 2A-8 on the board with the
- 22 associated name.
- 23 | Q. Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read the rest of
- 24 | the Bob Menendez user's response in this green bubble.
- 25 A. "Would be happy to meet. Will arrange through Nadine.

1 Thanks."

8

2 MR. MONTELEONI: Let's go back to the summary chart.

- 3 Mr. Hamill, could you please put back up Government
- 4 Exhibit 1302 and publish page 1.
- 5 Q. So, Special Agent Coughlin, how do these last few texts
- 6 | summarized on this page, apart from the last one, compare to
- 7 | the ones we've just been reading in Government Exhibit A101-4?
 - A. They are those messages we were just reading.
- 9 Q. Can you please read out the time of line 28, the last line
- 10 | that's dated February 3, 2018?
- 11 A. Yes. It says 5:23 p.m.
- 12 | Q. How does that time compare with the time of the previous
- 13 | line where the chart lists Menendez texting the Nadine
- 14 | Arslanian phone "Would be happy to meet will arrange through
- 15 Nadine, thanks"?
- 16 A. It is the same, same minute.
- 17 | Q. Can you please read in the last line on February 3, 2018,
- 18 | what the chart lists Nadine Arslanian as texting to Wael Hana
- 19 at 5:23 p.m.
- 20 A. "Senator Robert Menendez."
- 21 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, can you please take us to
- 22 the next page. Turning to the next line, line 29.
- 23 | Q. Who does Nadine Arslanian text on February 4, 2018, at
- 24 | 10:42 a.m.?
- 25 A. She texts Andy Aslanian.

2

3

- Q. How does that name compare to the name who said he was using Nadine Arslanian's phone the previous day?
- A. That's the same name.
- Q. Turning to the end of this, line could you please read the quotations from the message Nadine Arslanian sent.
- 6 A. "Good morning, Andy. Yesterday was a lot of fun.
- 7 Unfortunately when I got home there was no trace of Sabine. I
- 8 heard a couple of hours from my sister about how hurt Sabine
- 9 was. She had taken an entire day off to spend with me and I
- 10 wasn't around for a minute of it. I should have gone home at
- 11 some point at least after the hospital meeting. That is
- 12 | 100 percent my fault."
- 13 Q. Directing your attention to the next line at 11:33 a.m. on
- 14 | February 4, 2018. Can you please read Andy Aslanian's
- 15 response.
- 16 | A. "You have to call her up and apologize. Tell her about
- 17 | being co-chairperson of the charity and the fact you had been
- 18 | talking to Menendez, which may result to a good job for you.
- 19 Use Wael and me as people you had to sought out yesterday since
- 20 we had to help you with Menendez."
- 21 | Q. Does the text message indicate what type of job Andy is
- 22 | saying Nadine should explain might result to her from talking
- 23 to Menendez?
- 24 A. No.

25

Q. Does it explain how Wael and Andy could help out Nadine

O5S3MEN3

Coughlin - Direct

1 with Menendez?

A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Q. That line reflects a message on February 4, 2018. I want to look at some entries later that month.

THE COURT: Sir, you have to slow down.

MR. MONTELEONI: I'm so sorry.

THE COURT: All people do when asked to slow down is they lower their voice. We have a reporter, we have interpreters, and they both are having trouble.

MR. MONTELEONI: I'll try to slow down and I'll try to lower my voice.

- Q. Directing your attention to the next line dated
- 13 February 20, 2018. Could you please read what Nadine Arslanian
- 14 is listed as texting to Wael Hana on that date?
- 15 A. "In case I can reach the senator, what was the title of the
- 16 Egyptian man that we can meet in Washington."
- Q. On the next line, line 32, when is Wael Hana listed as e-mailing a news report to Nadine Arslanian?
- 19 A. February 22, 2018, at 4:54 p.m.
- 20 MR. MONTELEONI: Let's look at this e-mail.
- 21 Mr. Hamill, could you please publish what's in evidence as
- 22 Government Exhibit C401 expand to the top half of the page.
- 23 | Q. So, Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the
- 24 | "from" line, could you please read the display name and the
- 25 e-mail address on the "from" line.

6

7

9

14

15

16

17

- 1 A. Yes. It says W Hana W.Hana@loundeseg.com.
- Q. Who is that e-mail address attributed to on that chart of e-mail addresses that we just saw 1305?
 - A. Attributed to Wael Hana.
- 5 Q. Can you please read the display name and the "to" line.
 - A. The display name is nad070@yahoo.com. Nad070@yahoo.com.
 - Q. Who is that e-mail address attributed to on Government
- 8 Exhibit 1305, that chart?
 - A. That's attributed to Nadine Arslanian.
- 10 Q. Could you please read the large title text right below the sent line.
- 12 A. Sure. "Menendez, Rubio, Cardin and colleagues urge 13 administration to press Egyptian president on human rights,
 - Q. Directing your attention to the middle of the page to the paragraph starting U.S. Senators Bob Menendez. I'm not going to ask you to read all those names, but how many senators are
- 18 | listed after Bob Menendez?

anti-NGO law."

- 19 A. About 8 or 10. Eight or so.
- Q. So could you please read what the paragraph says that all those senators did that day.
- A. "They today urged President Trump to press Egyptian

 President Abdel Fattah El-Sisi on the worsening human rights

 situation and the restrictions on non-governmental
- 25 organizations in Egypt."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Directing your attention to the line above that paragraph starting Tuesday. Could you please read that line.
- A. "Tuesday, June 20, 2017."
- Q. So if the paragraph that we just looked at said that the senators had urged President Trump to press Egyptian President el-Sisi on the worsening human rights situation on June 20, 2017, directing your attention to the "sent" line. How long ago had that happened when Hana sent this e-mail to Nadine?
 - A. That would be about eight months prior.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put the chart Government Exhibit 1302 back up. Please publish page 2.

 Q. Directing your attention to line 34. The entry for
- February 23, 2018, at 3:27 p.m., who does Hana send a WhatsApp message to, according to this line?
 - A. Khaled Shawky.
 - Q. I'll ask you some more questions about Khaled Shawky in a few minutes. But in the documents you were asked to review, did you see a business card for this individual?
 - A. I did, yes.
 - Q. Let's take a look at that business card first.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up to the right what's in evidence as Government Exhibit B105-A.
- Q. Directing your attention to the upper-left corner of the business card. Could you please read the first two lines.
- 25 A. Yes. It says "Embassy of the Arab Republic of Egypt

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Defense Office in Washington, D.C."
- 2 Could you please read the name and title information in the Q. 3 center of the business card.
- 4 Major General Khaled Shawky Defense, Army, Naval and Air 5 Force Attache U.S.A. and Canada.
- 6 Q. Directing your attention to the bottom left. Could you 7 please read out street address that's written on General Shawky's business card.
- 9 2590 L Street NW Washington, D.C. 20037.
- 10 Could you please read both of those e-mail addresses below 11 the street address.
- 12 A. Yes. Egyptiandefence@Verizon.net.
- 13 Khaled Osman12@yahoo.CA.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, can you please take down and put up to the left Government Exhibit 1430 page 3.
 - I'll now read paragraph 18. The document marked for identification as Government Exhibit 2A-19 is a true and accurate photograph of Khaled Shawky Osman.
 - Mr. Richenthal, could you please put up Government Exhibit 2A-19 on the board, with the accompanying name.
 - And you can take down Government Exhibit 1430, Mr. Hamill. Thank you.
- Q. Let's look at the message that Hana sent to General Shawky. 23 24 Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read the text inside
- 25 the quotation marks that the chart lists Hana as having sent to

General Shawky.

2

Https://www.menendez.senate.gov//committees.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up Government Exhibit 1432, page 5. I'll now read from paragraph 13.

If called to testify, a representative of the Internet Archive would testify as follows.

- (a) The Internet Archive is a website that provides access to a digital library of internet sites and other cultural artifacts in digital form.
- (b) The Internet Archive created a service known as the Wayback Machine. The Wayback Machine makes it possible to browse more than 450 billion pages stored in the Internet Archive's web archive. Visitors to the Wayback Machine can search archives by URL, i.e. a website address. If archived records for the URL are available, the visitor will be presented with a display of available dates. The visitor may select one of those dates and begin browsing an archived version of the web.

So then, can we scroll down a little farther Mr. Hamill, please. You can keep scrolling.

So, subparagraph (e). Documents marked for identification of the following Government Exhibits contain true and correct copies contain of the records of the archived files for the web page URLs and the dates specified below.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So can you scroll down to 10G-6. So GX 10-6 web page https://www.menendez.Senate.gov/about/committees September 18, 2018.

So Mr. Hamill, could you please now put up what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 10G-6.

- Q. So, Special Agent Coughlin -- and could you expand the top half of the page for now. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the top banner in Government Exhibit 10G-6. How does that web address in that banner compare to the web address that you just read that Hana texted General Shawky in February of 2018?
- A. It is the same.
- Q. Directing your attention to the black Sep 18, 2018 toward the right of the top banner. How does the date, September 18, 2018, compare to the date that Government Exhibit 1302 lists Hana as texting that web address to General Shawky?
- A. I don't recall. Is it a few days before?
- MR. MONTELEONI: You can put up to the left,
 Mr. Hamill, page 2 of Government Exhibit 1302. And could you
 please expand line 34.
- Q. So how does the September 18, 2018, date compare to the February 23, 2018, date that Hana texted this URL to General Shawky?
- 24 A. Thank you, yes. That's about seven months later.
- MR. MONTELEONI: You can take down Government

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 1 Exhibit 13902 for now, Mr. Hamill. Thank you.
- Q. Could you please read the big title under the top banner in Government Exhibit 10G-6.
 - A. "Committee assignments."
 - Q. Could you please read the line under "committee assignments."
 - A. "Foreign Relations Committee."

MR. MONTELEONI: And could you now scroll down past the picture, Mr. Hamill.

- Q. And Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read the first sentence of the paragraph under the picture.
- A. "Bob Menendez serves as the ranking member most senior

 Democrat of the powerful Senate Foreign Relations Committee

 that helps shape foreign policy of broad significance in matter

 of war and peace and international relations."
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please take us back to Government Exhibit 1302, page 2.
- Q. Going down to the next line, line 35, Special Agent Coughlin, what's the date?
- 20 A. February 26, 2018.
- Q. So about how long is February 26, 2018, after the date that
 Hana sent that link to General Shawky?
- 23 A. Just three days later.
- 24 | Q. Who does Hana text on February 26, 2018?
- 25 A. He texts Nadine Arslanian.

- Q. Please read what Hana texts Nadine Arslanian.
- 2 A. "Egyptian Defense Office 2590 L Street NW Washington, D.C.
- 3 | 20037."

- 4 Q. How does that street address compare to the street address
- 5 that we saw listed on General Shawky's business card a few
- 6 minutes ago?
- 7 A. It's the same.
- 8 Q. Directing your attention to the next line. After Nadine
- 9 Arslanian receives this address information for the Egyptian
- 10 Defense Office, what does the chart reflect she does?
- 11 A. She texts that address to Robert Menendez.
- 12 MR. MONTELEONI: Let's look at this message.
- 13 Mr. Hamill, could you please put up what's in evidence as
- 14 Government Exhibit A101-5, and expand the blue bubble on the
- 15 | top. And now, could you actually expand the top half of the
- 16 page including that one and the next.
- 17 | Q. Special Agent Coughlin, can you please read Menendez's
- 18 response to getting this address.
- 19 A. 528 Hart Senate Office Building.
- 20 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish
- 21 Government Exhibit 1302, page 2.
- 22 | Q. And directing your attention to line 38, what does Nadine
- 23 | Arslanian do after receiving that text from Menendez?
- 24 A. She then sends that same -- possibly a forward of the text,
- 25 | but sends that same information to Andy Aslanian.

- Q. A few lines down, at 3:26 p.m., line 43, could you please read what Hana texts to Nadine Arslanian in that line.
 - A. "Call."

4

5

6

17

18

19

- Q. Turning to the next line, line 44. First of all, what is the time of this next line?
- A. 4:37 p.m.
- 7 Q. About how long is that after Wael Hana texted "call" to
- 8 Nadine Arslanian?
- 9 A. It is a little over an hour.
- Q. Directing your attention to the detail cell. Please just read the first word before the quotation marks.
- 12 A. "Voicemail."
- 13 Q. Who is the voicemail from?
- 14 A. It's from Nadine Arslanian.
- 15 | Q. Who is the voicemail to?
- 16 A. Robert Menendez.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: So, I'd like to play the voicemail and with the Court's permission I'd like to present Government Exhibit A105-A-TR, a written transcription as an aid to the jury just while the audio file is playing.
- 21 THE COURT: So the audio file is in evidence.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Yes.
- 23 THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, so the 24 evidence is the audio file. Apparently the government has 25 prepared a transcript of what they believe is on the audio

file. So that's going to be shown to you as an aid to help
you, but the transcript is not the evidence. It is the audio
file that's the evidence. So if you hear something on the
audio file that's different than what's on this transcript,
remember the transcript is simply an aid to help you understand
the evidence but the evidence is the audio file. Okay?
Thanks. Proceed.
MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you, your Honor. So if you
could please put up Government Exhibit A105-A-TR, Mr. Hamill.
And then when you're ready, please play Government Exhibit
A105-A.
(Audio playing)
MR. MONTELEONI: May I have a moment to consult with
Mr. Hamill to see how fast this can be resolved.
(Audio playing)
MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, we've been going for two
hours. I wonder if this might be a time for a break.
THE COURT: If you can get it up, it would be nice for
them to hear it. Is that the issue?
MR. MONTELEONI: It might take a moment or two.
THE COURT: Why don't we take our midafternoon break,
ladies and gentlemen. 10 minutes.
(Jury excused)
THE COURT: 10 minutes.
(Recess)

texts to Nadine then?

```
1
               (Jury present)
 2
               THE COURT: Do we have that audio up?
 3
               MR. MONTELEONI: Yes. May I proceed, your Honor?
 4
               THE COURT: Yes.
                                 Jury, you remember my instruction
 5
      before in regard to the audio being the evidence. Play it.
 6
               MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, before playing it, if you
7
      can display -- thank you.
8
               (Audio playing)
 9
               MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, can you please put back
10
      up Government Exhibit 1302, page 3.
11
      Q. Now, going to February 27, 2018, at 8:29 a.m.
      Agent Coughlin, can you please read the text that Nadine
12
13
     Arslanian sends to Menendez according to this chart.
14
      A. "As much as I really wanted to see you today I could hear
15
      in your voice it's going to be tight for you and I thank you so
16
     much for having said yes, you would do it for half an hour. I
17
      will go to Wael's office and call you at 3:15 p.m. and we can
18
      get all the introductions out of the way properly over the
19
      phone, and you pick a day you are free for us to fly down to
20
      Washington to meet comfortably according to your schedule. If
21
     not next week, then the week after."
22
         So let's go ahead a few days. Directing your attention two
      0.
23
      lines down, to line from March 18 -- March 5, rather, 2018,
24
                What about does the chart reflect that Wael Hana
```

- Coughlin Direct
- "Call me." 1 Α.
- 2 Directing your attention to the next line, at 5:44 p.m., Q.
- 3 first, about how long is that line after Wael Hana texted "call
- me" to Nadine Arslanian? 4
- 5 It is a little less than three hours.
- Who does Nadine Arslanian text after 5:44 p.m.? 6
- 7 Robert Menendez. Α.
- Can you please read what she texts Robert Menendez at 8
- 9 5:44 p.m.
- 10 "General Khaled Shawky Col. Aymen Habib Col. Ahmed Atia."
- 11 Directing your attention to the next line, could you please
- read what the chart reflects she texted Robert Menendez. 12
- 13 "Wael Hana, Antranig Aslanian, Nadine Arslanian." Α.
- 14 Have you seen any other names listed for Antranig Aslanian Q.
- in the documents you reviewed? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 What's the more common first name he's referred to as?
- 18 Α. Andy.
- 19 Directing your attention to the line after that, line 59.
- 20 Could you please read what the chart reflects that Nadine texts
- 21 Menendez at 5:50 p.m.
- 22 Α. "We need two different invitations please. The first three
- 23 names are for the Egyptian Army and the second three names are
- 24 Could you please e-mail me the two separate invitations to
- 25 my e-mail nad070@yahoo.com whenever you get a chance."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Directing your attention to the next line, line 60. Could you please read what the chart reflects that she texts him at 5:57 p.m.
 - A. "Wael and I would like to meet with you this weekend to discuss the points of the meeting on the 13th. It's important."
 - Q. Directing your attention to the line after that. What does the chart indicate that Menendez texts back at 7:28 p.m.?
- A. "Would need the general's direct e-mail."
- 10 | Q. Turning to page 4. What do the next two lines reflect?
- 11 A. Wael Hana sends Nadine Arslanian a picture of Khaled
 12 Shawky's business card, and then Nadine sends that photo to
- 13 Robert Menendez.
- Q. About how long does Nadine send it to Menendez after Nadine gets it from Wael Hana?
- 16 A. A minute later.
- Q. Is that the business card we looked at earlier for Khaled Shawky?
- 19 | A. It is, yes.
- Q. Now, looking at the second March 5, 2018, 7:32 p.m. line,
- 21 | line 64, what does this list Nadine as texting Menendez?
- 22 A. "I just sent you his e-mail."
- Q. Going to the next day, the next line, can you please read the quoted text that the chart indicates that Nadine sends to
- 25 | Menendez on March 6 at, 2018 at 11:43 a.m.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

- A. "Sorry to bother you. When you send the invite for Wael,
 Antranig and I. Could you please e-mail it to Wael and please
 could you e-mail him or text him the invite for the general so
 he looks it over. Thank you." And then attaches image of Wael
- Q. And by the way, how did you verify this file was what Nadine attached to this text message?
 - A. So, this is the government exhibit which I looked at, but you can also look at the sort of original file that's attached to the phone records.
- Q. Were you given access to folders of attachments to the reports of these chats to see what files they contained?
- 13 A. That's correct, yes.

Hana business card.

- Q. Turning to page 5. What's the date of this next line, line 66?
- 16 A. March 7, 2018, 2:39 p.m.
 - Q. So when's that in comparison to the March 6 date that we just saw that Nadine Arslanian sent the text asking Menendez to e-mail or text Hana the invite for the general?
 - A. It would be the next day.
- 21 Q. Directing your attention to the detail cell of this line.
- Could you please read the first word before or just the first word of the detail cell.
- 24 | A. "E-mail."
- 25 Q. Let's look at this e-mail.

2

3

4

5

- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, can you please publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 3A-1.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the "from" line. Could you please read the "from" line.
- A. Arkin, Sarah (Menendez).
- Q. Could you please read the "to" line.
- 7 A. Stroul, Dana (Foreign Relations).
- 8 Q. Could you please read the subject line.
- 9 | A. RM.
- 10 | Q. Could you please read the body text.
- 11 A. "Wrote this and handed to Rob. Thoughts?"
- 12 | Q. Directing your attention first to where it says "handed to
- 13 Rob." Could you please read the cc line of this e-mail.
- 14 A. Yes. Kelly, Robert (Menendez).
- 15 | Q. Does this e-mail have an attachment?
- 16 A. It does.
- 17 | Q. What type of file is the attachment?
- 18 A. It is a jpeg which is an image.
- 19 Q. Let's look at the attachment.
- 20 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please turn to
 21 page 2 of Government Exhibit 3A-1. So, and then could you
 22 expand the top half of the page.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention first to the upper left and the second line of the lined paper. Could
- 25 you please read the salutation starting with "dear."

O5S3MEN3

Coughlin - Direct

1212

- 1 A. Yes. Dear -- appears to say Major General Shawky.
- Q. What was Khaled Shawky's rank from the business card we saw?
- 4 A. Major General.
- Q. Directing your attention to the upper-right corner. Does that appear to be an e-mail address?
 - A. It does, yes.
- Q. And how does that e-mail address compare to the address on the business card Nadine Arslanian texted to Robert Menendez?
- 10 | A. It appears to be one of those e-mail addresses.
- 11 Q. Could you remind the jury if, before the break, we looked
- 12 at the page of committee assignments for Robert Menendez
- 13 | listing him as the ranking member on the Senate Foreign
- 14 Relations Committee?
- 15 A. Yes.

- 16 | Q. Directing your attention two lines under the salutation.
- 17 | First of all, is this handwriting easy to read?
- 18 A. I wouldn't say it's easy. But it's generally legible.
- 19 Somewhat legible anyway.
- 20 | Q. Can you do your best to read that paragraph.
- 21 A. Sure. "From the ranking member of the SFRC I wish to
- 22 | invite you" then you can see it looks like there's edits and
- 23 | "your colleague Colonel Habib and Colonel Atia to a
- 24 | conversation about U.S. Egypt" -- not sure of that word "U.S.
- 25 Egypt and military relations at my office 528 Hart Senate

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1 Office Building on Tuesday, March 13 at 4 p.m."

THE COURT: Is the word that you can't see that you don't know what it was "security"?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Appears to be.

- Q. By the way, you read Colonel Habib and Colonel Atia. Is that the word written out or an abbreviation?
- A. An abbreviation.
- Q. What's that abbreviation?
 - A. It says Col.
- 10 | Q. Is that a common abbreviation for colonel?
- 11 A. To my knowledge, yes.
- Q. Directing your attention to the address that's written
 under the paragraph that you just read. Not going to ask you
 to read that all. But how does that address compare to the
 street address on the business card for Major General Khaled
 Shawky that we saw that Hana sent to Nadine and that Nadine
- 17 sent to Menendez?
- 18 A. It appears to be the same address.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Now, Mr. Hamill, could you please scroll us down to the bottom half of this page.
- Q. Now, on this bottom half of the page, directing your attention to the second salutation that's handwritten here on
- 23 this page. Could you please read that line starting "dear."
- 24 A. "Dear Mr. Hana."
- 25 | Q. Please try to read this paragraph.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

18

19

- A. "As the ranking member of the SFRC I wish to invite you and your colleague Antranig Aslanian and Nadine Arslanian to a conversation about U.S. Egypt" and then it appears to say "security and military" which may be is crossed out "relations at my office."
- Q. Directing your attention to the address information below.

 I am not going to ask you to read it all out loud. How does that compare to the address information on the business card for Wael Hana that Nadine texted to Menendez?
- 10 A. It is the same.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, you can take that down.

 Put back up Government Exhibit 1302 page 5.
 - Q. Now, directing your attention to the line for March 8,
 2018, at 12:39 p.m. What does the chart reflect that Wael Hana
 texts to Nadine Arslanian on that date?
 - A. A picture of an invitation.
- 17 | Q. Let's look at the invitation.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit B105-B. Then expand the text.
- 21 Q. So, directing your attention first to the signature block.
- 22 Who is the sender?
- 23 A. Robert Kelly, Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations U.S.
- 24 | Senator Bob Menendez.
- 25 Q. Who is the recipient?

- A. It says Dear Mr. Hana.
- 2 | Q. And looking up above, the image quality is not incredibly
- 3 crisp, but if you look at the gray letters above the Dear
- 4 Mr. Hana, can you make out what e-mail address it's directed
- 5 | to?

- 6 A. Yes. It says the user ID says W. Hana and it says
- 7 W.Hana@loundeseg.com.
- 8 Q. Directing your attention to the very top of the page.
- 9 Could you read the large subject line.
- 10 A. "Invitation from Ranking Member Menendez."
- 11 | Q. Now, directing your attention to the main paragraph.
- 12 | Please read the first sentence up to "relationship."
- 13 A. "As the ranking member of the U.S. Senate Foreign Relations
- 14 | Committee, I wish to invite you and any of your colleagues
- 15 | Antraniq Aslanian and Nadine Arslanian to a conversation about
- 16 U.S. Egypt security and military relationship."
- 17 | Q. After that paragraph, skipping the last couple of lines of
- 18 | that paragraph, could you please just read the two lines
- 19 starting "sincerely."
- 20 A. "Sincerely Senator Bob Menendez."
- 21 | Q. Before we move on from this e-mail, could you please note
- 22 the date that this meeting invitation is for.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What is that date?
- 25 A. Tuesday, March 13, at 4 p.m.

invitation?

1216

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish Government Exhibit 8F-9 which is in evidence. And then expand the text on the top of the page.
- Q. So, first, what's the date of this e-mail?
- A. This e-mail is Monday, March 12, 2018.
- Q. So how does that compare to the Tuesday, March 13 scheduled date of the meeting that we just looked at according to the
 - A. It would be the day before.
 - Q. Please read the "from" line.
- 11 A. Stroul, Dana (Foreign Relations).
- 12 | Q. Could you please read the "to" line.
- 13 A. Kelly, Robert (Menendez), then it has the e-mail address
 14 Robert Kelly@Menendez.Senate.gov.
 - Q. How does the name of the person in the "to" line compare to the individual who just sent the invitation to Wael Hana we were just looking at?
 - A. It appears to be the same person.
 - Q. There is a number of names in the cc line. I am not going to ask you to read all their names or e-mail addresses. But could you please just read the last portion of their e-mail addresses and tell us what e-mail domains the portions after the @ sign are in these e-mail addresses?
 - A. Yes. Most of them have @foreign.senate.gov. I think there is another @Menendez.senate.gov.

O5S3MEN3

Coughlin - Direct

- Q. Does this e-mail have any recipients lists who do not have the Menendez.senate.gov or foreign.senate.gov domains?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 Q. Can you please read the first two words of the subject line
- 5 | in the e-mail in all capital letters?
- 6 A. "For RM."
- 7 Q. By the way, what are Menendez's initials?
- 8 A. RM.
- 9 Q. Please read the rest of the subject line after for RM.
- 10 A. "Memo for Egypt Defense Attache Meeting Final."
- 11 Q. Let's look at the attachment.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish
- 13 the top half page 2 of Government Exhibit 8F-9.
- 14 Q. Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read the title in
- 15 the top center of the page.
- 16 A. "Meeting memo".
- 17 | Q. Who is this meeting memo listed as from?
- 18 A. Dana Stroul and Christopher Barr.
- 19 | Q. Who is it to?
- 20 A. Senator Menendez.
- 21 | Q. Who is cc'd?
- 22 A. Jessica Lewis and Sarah Arkin.
- 23 | Q. Could you please read the re line.
- 24 A. "Meeting with Egyptian Defense Attache General Shawky."
- 25 | Q. Could you please read the meeting purpose line.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1218

A. "On Tuesday at 4 p.m., you will meet General Shawky,

Egypt's defense attache in Washington to discuss the U.S. Egypt

relationship."

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please expand the whole page. Now expand the bottom half from background on down.

- Q. So, directing your attention after the background heading, and down to the mostly unredacted paragraph starting assistance. Could you please read the first sentence up to FY17.
- A. Sure. "On January 23, 2018, the administration notified Congress of its intent to obligate \$1.039 billion out of a total of \$1.3 billion in FMF for FY 2017."
- Q. Could you please read the next sentence up to waiver.
 - A. "Part of the 260.7 million in withheld is tied to a certification requirement on human rights and democracy which Tillerson did not make and did not use the Senate security waiver."

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, you could please take that down. Put back up Government Exhibit 1302, page 6.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to March 13, 2018 at 4 p.m. Could you please read the details of that line.
- 24 A. Yes. 4 p.m. you said, right?
- 25 Q. Yes.

- 1 "Robert Menendez's schedule contains an entry at 4 p.m. to
- 2 4:45 p.m. meeting with Major General Khaled Shawky, Egyptian
- 3 defense attache."
- 4 Directing your attention to the next two lines. 71 and 72.
- 5 What do they reflect that Hana texts Nadine that night?
- 6 Α. Photos.

- Let's take a closer look at one of those photos.
- 8 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up 9 what's in evidence as Government Exhibit C102-B.
- 10 Special Agent Coughlin, do you recognize Menendez in this 11 picture?
- 12 Α. I do, yes.
- 13 Where is he standing? Q.
- 14 He's third from the left with the light blue tie. Α.
- 15 And who is to the left of him in the picture? Q.
- To our left is Nadine Arslanian. 16
- 17 That's right. And who is to our left of her in the
- 18 picture?
- 19 Wael Hana. Α.
- 20 Who is to the right of Menendez in the picture?
- 21 Α. Khaled Shawky.
- 22 Who is to the right of General Shawky in the picture?
- Α. 23 Andy Aslanian.
- 24 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please take us
- 25 back to Government Exhibit 1302, page 7.

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. So, directing your attention to the first April 5, 2018
 line, line 76. How long is this after this March 13, 2018,
 scheduled meeting we just discussed?
- A. It's a little less than a month. Three or four weeks or so.
 - Q. On that line at 4:40 p.m., please read just the first sentence of the quoted text that the chart reflects that Hana sent to Nadine Arslanian.
 - A. Just the quoted portion?
- Q. Well, it's all a quotation. Just the first sentence of that quotation.
 - A. Okay. "The Court of Cassation headed by Counselor Abdel Rahman Mustapha Heikal overturned the 16-year sentence for the foreign financing case in 2011 and re-imitated it before another criminal chamber."
 - Q. Directing your attention to the line after it. Line 77.

 Could you please read what the chart reflects that Nadine
 - Arslanian responds to Hana one minute later.
 - A. "Do I send this to the senator?"
- Q. Directing your attention to the line after that. Please read Hana's reply after 4:45 p.m.
- A. "Give me a minute. I am going to send you full report to send it to him."
- Q. Where it says "I'm going to send you full report," what does the next line says that Hana sends her?

2

8

- A. An e-mail with an attachment.
- Q. Let's look at that e-mail.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish
- 4 what's in evidence as Government Exhibit C402. And you can
- 5 expand the text on that page above the signature graphic.
- Q. Looking at that e-mail, could you please read the title of
- 7 | the attachment to the e-mail?
 - A. Yes. 4.1 PDF.
 - O. Let's look at this attachment.
- 10 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please show us
- 11 page 2 of Government Exhibit C402 and expand the top half first
- 12 page.
- 13 Q. Special Agent Coughlin, how do the first few paragraphs
- 14 compare to the text that you just read portions of roughly?
- 15 A. It's very close, a portion of that.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please expand
- 17 | the bottom of the second page.
- 18 | Q. So directing your attention to the last paragraph on the
- 19 second page. Please read the last sentence of this -- wait.
- 20 No. Could we expand the page again. So going to the second
- 21 | big paragraph on the page. Directing your attention -- one up
- 22 from that.
- 23 Directing your attention, Special Agent Coughlin, to
- 24 | the last sentence of this paragraph starting "in this case."
- 25 Please read that sentence starting "in this case."

A. "In this case, 43 defendants, including 14 Egyptians and 29 American, European and Arab defendants were tried for foreign aid amounting to \$60 million through 68 human rights organizations and a non-governmental association operating in Egypt."

MR. MONTELEONI: Now Mr. Hamill, if you could take us down to the bottom paragraph on this page and expand that paragraph.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read this paragraph.
- A. "The decision included the dissolution of the American Republic Institute, the American Democratic Institute, Freedom House, the American International Center for Journalists, The Conrad German Organization, the closure of all branches of the above and all governorates in Egyptian and the confiscation of their funds in full and all that was seized in those branches."

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, you can put take that down and put back up Government Exhibit 1302, page 7.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the 5:10 p.m. line at the bottom of the page. 5:10 on April 5, 2018. Could you please read the detail cell describing what Nadine sends to Robert Menendez.
- A. It is an e-mail with an attachment 4-1.PDF about the court of cassation decision. Nadine writes in the e-mail, "Mon amour, I just got this e-mail. It was part of the conversation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

that was held at your office in Washington. I thought you would be interested in reading it."

- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please take us to the next page.
- Q. Directing your attention to line 81 at the top. What's the date?
- A. April 6, 2018.
 - Q. Please read what Nadine Arslanian texts to Wael Hana at 5:40 p.m. that day.
- A. "Senator said that you are such a good friend for

 everything you did yesterday and the day before to me. He

 called me after he left the governor's house just to tell me

 that, but he had people in the car, so I will find out when he

 calls me today what happened and ask him about the two deals."
 - Q. Does anything in her message explain what the two deals that she's saying she is going to ask the senator about are?
 - A. No.
 - Q. These last few lines were from April 2018. I want to ask about some things that happened in May. Staying on page 8.

 Directing your attention to line 83 and May 3 line. With no "to" or "from" listed, what kind of document is described as
- 22 being published on that date?
- 23 A. This relates to an article that was published.
- 24 | Q. Let's look at the article.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Coughlin - Direct

what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 10A1 and put up page 1.

Q. So, Special Agent Coughlin --

MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, can we get an instruction on Senator-1 that it's not Senator Menendez?

MR. MONTELEONI: Absolutely. We have no objection to --

THE COURT: Senator-1 is not Senator Menendez. Proceed.

MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you.

- Q. So, could you please read the large bolded headline.
- 12 A. "Congress withholds Egypt aid over injured American."
- 13 | Q. Could you please read the subtitle sentence.
- A. Senator-1 has asked the Donald Trump Administration to hold \$300 million in military aid unless Cairo pays reparations for a 2015 attack on tourists and meets a series of human rights
 - Q. I'm not going to ask you to read them out loud. But does the article specify some of these human rights conditions that are referred to in this subtitle you just read?
 - A. It does, yes.

conditions."

- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, you can then put back up Government Exhibit 1302, page 8.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to
 line 87. The line from May 6, 2018, at 12:32 p.m. What does

Coughlin - Direct

- 1 | Hana text Nadine then?
- 2 | A. "Are we meeting for dinner today."
- 3 Q. A few lines down, line 90 at 2:56 p.m., what does Nadine
- 4 | text to Hana?
- 5 A. See you at Forno.
- 6 Q. On the next line, line 91, what does Nadine write to Hana
- 7 at 3:14 p.m.?
- 8 A. "On my way."
- 9 Q. The line after that, what does she write to Hana at
- 10 | 3:31 p.m.?
- 11 A. "We are in dining room."
- 12 | Q. Where it says "we are in dining room," does that text say
- 13 who the "we" is?
- 14 A. It does not.
- Q. Let's look at something a few lines up. You read "See you
- 16 | at Forno."
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please show the
- 18 witness, the parties and the Court the document marked for
- 19 | identification as Government Exhibit 10H-7.
- 20 | Q. Special Agent Coughlin, do you recognize this document?
- 21 | A. I do, yes.
- 22 | Q. What type of document is this?
- 23 | A. It's from Google Maps. It shows walking directions.
- 24 | Q. Did you personally create this image?
- 25 A. I didn't create this, no.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

25

- Q. Did you check that it actually reflects what a Google Map shows?
- A. I did actually look up the directions myself, yes.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ MONTELEONI: We offer Government Exhibit 10H-7 in evidence.

THE COURT: Hearing no objection, admitted.

(Government's Exhibit 10H-7 received in evidence)

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish.

- Q. Directing your attention first to the top-left corner where if says Fornos of Spain. First, what is Fornos of Spain according to Google?
- 12 A. It's a restaurant.
- Q. This lists directions between Fornos of Spain and One
 Gateway Center. About how far is Fornos of Spain from One
 - A. A six minute walk.
 - Q. Let's look at what's at One Gateway Center.

Gateway Center according to this map?

- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up
 to the right page 2 of Government Exhibit 3A-12. And could you
 expand the top third of the page.
- Q. So, Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read the top two lines in the center of Government Exhibit 3A-12.
- A. "Senator Robert Menendez state offices contact information."
 - Q. Directing your attention to the box beneath the word "state

- offices contact information." On the right, under the word

 Newark, could you please read the street address.
 - A. One Gateway Center.
- 4 Q. So how does that address compare to the address that
- 5 Government Exhibit 10H-7 lists as a six minute walk from Fornos
- 6 of Spain?

- 7 A. It's the same address.
 - Q. Let's return to the chart.
- 9 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put back 10 up Government Exhibit 1302, page 8.
- 11 Q. Directing your attention to line 93, the line after Nadine
- 12 Arslanian texts Hana we are in dining room. So, then a few
- lines after she texts Hana see you at Forno. At 3:33 p.m. on
- 14 line 39, can you read Hana's response.
- 15 A. "15 be there."
- 16 \parallel Q. The line after that, what does Hana text 14 minutes later?
- 17 A. "I'm here."
- 18 Q. Could you note the date and time that Hana texted "I'm
- 19 | here."
- 20 | A. Yes. May 6, 2018, at 3:47 p.m.
- Q. Let's look at something that happens a couple of hours
 later.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 8A-1. Publish just
- 25 the bottom e-mail of this chain.

Coughlin - Direct

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, what's the date and time of this e-mail?
- 3 A. May 6, 2018, 5:34 p.m.
- 4 | Q. How does that compare to the 3:34 p.m. time that Hana
- 5 | texted "I'm here" to Nadine Arslanian?
- 6 A. A little less than two hours later.
- 7 Q. What day of the week does it indicate this e-mail was sent?
- 8 A. Sunday.
- 9 | Q. And who is sender of this e-mail?
- 10 A. It says Stroul, Dana (Foreign Relations).
- 11 | Q. How does that compare to the person who sent the memo, the
- 12 | meeting memo for Menendez in advance of that meeting with Major
- 13 | General Shawky in March 2018 we saw?
- 14 A. It's the same.
- 15 | Q. Could you please read the display name of the recipient of
- 16 \parallel the e-mail.
- 17 A. Yes. Miller, Tiernen.
- 18 Q. Could you please read the subject line of the e-mail.
- 19 A. "Embassy Cairo."
- 20 Q. What country is Cairo the capital of?
- 21 | A. Egypt.
- 22 | Q. Could you please read the body of the e-mail.
- 23 A. "Any idea how many Americans posted to the embassy? Don't
- 24 ask why I'm asking."
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please expand

3

9

- 1 | just to the next e-mail up the chain and the bottom one.
- 2 Q. So, can you first read the e-mail address.
 - A. MillerET@state.gov.
- 4 | Q. Could you remind the jury what department of the U.S.
- 5 government runs embassies?
- 6 A. Department of State.
- 7 Q. Can you please read what Tiernen Miller writes in response.
- 8 A. "I would have to ask and then someone is going to ask why."
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please expand the full e-mail chain.
- 11 Q. Special Agent Coughlin, can you please read Dana Stroul's reply.
- 13 A. "Menendez is asking."
- 14 | Q. Look what happens on the next day.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put back up Government Exhibit 1302, page 8.
- 17 Q. So Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to
- 18 | line 102, the entry for May 7, 2018 at 2:13 p.m. Who is the
- 19 sender?
- 20 A. Robert Menendez.
- 21 Q. Who is the recipient?
- 22 A. Nadine Arslanian.
- 23 | Q. Could you please read the message.
- 24 | A. "Just FYI, 277 Americans combination of diplomats,
- 25 commercial service, USAID, others, 1344 Egyptians locally

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

employed staff. This is what's at American embassy. Most are Egyptians working at embassy."

Q. Let's just take a look at that for a moment.

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up what's in evidence as Government Exhibit A101-6 and expand the middle message.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, is that what you just read summarized?
- A. It is, yes.

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, you can please take us back to Government Exhibit 1302, page 8.

- Q. Directing your attention to the line after that text that you just read. Who does Nadine Arslanian call at 2:19 p.m.?
- 14 A. Robert Menendez.
- 15 Q. How long does that call last?
- 16 A. 12 minutes and 21 seconds.
- 17 | Q. The call starts at 2:19 p.m. lasts for about 12 minutes.
- 18 About when does it end?
- 19 | A. It would end at 2:31 or 2:32.
- 20 | Q. Directing your attention to the next line. About how long
- 21 | is it between when Nadine Arslanian gets off the phone with
- 22 Menendez and when she texts Hana?
- 23 A. I would assume -- not assume. It would be within a minute,
- 24 I think max two minutes.
- 25 Q. Could you please read what she texts Menendez within one to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

two minutes of getting off the phone with Menendez -- what she texts to Hana, rather, within one to two minutes after getting off the phone with Menendez?

- A. "He said he's waiting for an answer. As soon as he gets it he will call me. And he sent me this information about the American embassy in Egypt."
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you take us to the next page, please.
 - Q. Directing your attention to the top line on page 9. Could you please read what Nadine Arslanian texts Wael Hana one minute later.
 - A. "Just FYI. 277 Americans combination of diplomats, commercial service, USAID, others, 1344 Egyptians locally employed staff. This is what's at American embassy. Most are Egyptians working at embassy."
 - Q. How does that text compare to what Menendez texted her previously?
- A. It's the same.
- 19 | Q. Jump ahead for a moment to that evening.
- 20 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please take us
 21 to page 10.
- Q. Directing your attention to the line, line 127 for May 7,
 23 2018, at 10:38 p.m. Who does it say is the sender of the
 24 message listed on that line?
- 25 A. Wael Hana.

Coughlin - Direct

1232

- 1 | Q. Who does it say is the recipient?
- 2 A. Ahmed Essam.
- 3 Q. On the detail cell, please read the first word in that
- 4 cell.

- 5 A. Viber.
- 6 | Q. What's Viber?
 - A. Viber is a third-party app. An encrypted messaging app.
- 8 | Q. Let's look at that Viber message.
- 9 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up 10 what's in evidence as Government Exhibit C112-1.
- Q. First directing your attention to the blue bubble. Does the person that Hana is exchanging Viber messages with have a
- 13 display name listed?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. In the chart that we looked at, Government Exhibit 1305, is
- 16 Ahmed Essam attributed as a name to this phone number?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Let's go to the bottom of this page. Let's look at the
- 19 message that Hana sends at 10:38 p.m. on May 7, 2018. How does
- 20 | that message compare to the message that Menendez sent to
- 21 Nadine Arslanian early that afternoon which you just testified
- 22 | about?
- 23 A. It's the same.
- 24 | Q. Let's look at who this Ahmed Essam user receiving the
- 25 messages.

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up to the right what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 8A-12. Publish the top two-thirds of the first page.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the second paragraph starting the attached documents. Could you please read the first sentence from the attached documents down to the U.S. embassy in Cairo. You can skip the control number at the end.
- A. The attached documents are true, accurate and complete copies of the information contained in the Consular Consolidated Database maintained by the U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Consular Affairs, for the non-immigrant visa applications submitted by Ahmed Essameldin Mohamed Helmy Abdallah, date of birth and adjudicated at the U.S. embassy in Cairo.
- Q. So now is that name that you just read listed as an alternate name for Ahmed Essam in the attribution chart?

 A. It is, yes.
- Q. Let's go down to page 2.

MR. MONTELEONI: And Mr. Hamill, could you please publish the top half showing the photo. Mr. Richenthal could you please put up Government Exhibit 2A-30 on the board and the associated name.

MR. WEITZMAN: I don't think this has been accepted into evidence.

Coughlin - Direct

1 MR. MONTELEONI: We moved it subject to connection. 2 MR. WEITZMAN: I don't think there was a ruling on 3 that. MR. MONTELEONI: We ask it be admitted now. 4 5 THE COURT: Yes, I see the connection. Admitted. 6 MR. MONTELEONI: So now, thank you. Mr. Hamill, could 7 you please take us to page 5 of Government Exhibit 8A-12 and expand the middle of the page. 8 9 Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the 10 first line under telephone. I am not going to ask you to read 11 that number out loud. 12 How does that number listed as home compare to the 13 phone number of the user that Hana texted the number of 14 Americans stationed at the U.S. embassy in Cairo to? It is the same with the addition of in the chat a country 15 16 code. 17 MR. MONTELEONI: Now, Mr. Hamill, could you please 18 expand the top half of page 5 of Government Exhibit 8A-2. Just 19 the top half. 20 Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read the employer 21 line. 22 Counselor Ministry of Foreign Affairs. 23 Could you please read the line under occupation. Q.

A. Government.

24

25

Q. Could you please read the line under visa type.

Coughlin - Direct

Α.

1

4

Official.

- And then in the middle paragraph under the telephone 2 Q.
- 3 number, could you please read the line under contact name?
 - Egyptian embassy Egyptian embassy.
- 5 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please take
- down Government Exhibit 8A-12 and C112-1 and return to what 6
- 7 happened the afternoon after Menendez sent that text. Could
- you please show us page 8 of Government Exhibit 1302. 8
- 9 Q. Directing your attention, Special Agent Coughlin, to the
- 10 May 7, 2018, 2:32 p.m. entry at the bottom. We looked at a few
- moments ago where Nadine Arslanian texted Hana and said 11
- 12 "Waiting for an answer. As soon as he gets it he'll call me
- 13 and he sent me the information about the American embassy in
- 14 Egypt."
- 15 Could you please scroll down so we see the bottom of
- page 8 and the top of page 9. 16
- 17 So directing your attention down a few lines on the
- 18 next page to line 107 on May 7, 2018, at 3:06 p.m. First,
- 19 about how long is that 3:06 p.m. line after the 2:32 p.m. line?
- 20 34 minutes.
- 21 Could you please read just the first word of the detail
- 22 cell on this 3:06 p.m. line
- "E-mail." 23 Α.
- 24 Let's look at this e-mail. Q.
- 25 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish

2

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- what's in evidence as Government Exhibit A402. And expand the text on that page.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the top line. Who is this e-mail from?
 - A. Robert Menendez e-mail address Bobmenendez154@gmail.com.
 - Q. Does gmail.com indicate a government e-mail account?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Who is this e-mail to?
 - A. Nadine Arslanian e-mail address nad070@yahoo.com.
- 10 | Q. Could you please read the subject line of this e-mail.
- 11 | A. "FYI."
- Q. Could you please read the first sentence of the first paragraph.
 - A. "Last week, Senator-1, a frequent critic of the Sisi government, said he would put a hold on up to \$300 million in aid to Egypt unless a series of conditions are met."
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Now, Mr. Hamill, could you please put up to the left Government Exhibit 10A-1, the article we looked at previously. And now could you take to us page 2, Mr. Hamill, of Government Exhibit 10A-1.
 - THE COURT: Same Senator-1?
 - MR. MONTELEONI: All of these references to Senator-1 or any senator number do not refer to the senator.
 - Now, Mr. Hamill, could you expand on the right from the middle of the second paragraph starting "these include

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

providing compensation" down to the bottom of the third paragraph ending in "surveillance may disappear in January 2016."

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the expanded portions of this article on the right and the rest of the first paragraph of Menendez's e-mail to Nadine on the left. How does the text in those paragraphs of the news article compare to the text of the rest of that first paragraph of Menendez's e-mail to Nadine?
- A. It is the same. Appears to be word for word the same.
- Q. How do the hyperlinks in Menendez's e-mail compare to the hyperlinks in the article?
 - A. They are in the same spot.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please take down Government Exhibit 10A-1 and then put up on the left Government Exhibit 8F-9, page 2 we looked at previously. Expand the mostly unredacted bottom paragraph.
 - Q. Special Agent Coughlin, how does the paragraph from the meeting memo that we looked at previously in 8F-9 compare to the second paragraph of Menendez's e-mail?
- 21 A. It is the same.
- 22 | Q. Are there slight differences?
- 23 \parallel A. Yeah, one or two words that are slightly different.
- 24 | Q. Let's see what happened after Nadine got that e-mail.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please take

4

5

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

those down. Put back up Government Exhibit 1302. Take us to page 9.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the line on May 7, 2018, at 3:47 p.m. How does the time of that line compare to the time of -- can you scroll a bit up,
- Mr. Hamill. How does the time of that line compare to the time of Menendez sending the e-mail with the subject line FYI to Nadine at 3:06 p.m.?
 - A. It's 41 minutes later.
 - Q. So what does Nadine text to Hana at 3:47 p.m.?
- 11 A. She texts him screenshots of the previous e-mail, that
 12 e-mail we were just discussing.
 - Q. Let's look at one of those screenshots.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up what's in evidence as Government Exhibit B105-C to the right and put back up Government Exhibit A42 on the left.
 - Q. So, Special Agent Coughlin, how does the text in this screenshot that Nadine texted to Hana on the right compare to the text in the beginning of the first paragraph of the e-mail that Menendez sent to Nadine on the left?
- 21 A. It's the same.
- Q. In this screenshot, can you see who sent the e-mail with this text?
- 24 A. Not in the screenshot, no.
- 25 | Q. In any of the three screenshots that Nadine sends, can you

Coughlin - Direct

- 1 see who sent this e-mail?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 | Q. Let's return to the chart.
- 4 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put back 5 up Government Exhibit 1302, page 9.
- 6 Q. Now, in the bottom half of this page, Special Agent
- 7 Coughlin, I'm not going to ask you to read them all. Are there
- 8 a number of messages between Hana and Nadine about whether Hana
- 9 got all of the screenshots?
- 10 A. There are, yes.
- 11 | Q. Turning to the next page, take us there, Mr. Hamill. On,
- 12 | yes, so on May 7, 2018 at 8:03 p.m., line 126. What does the
- 13 chart reflect that Nadine eventually does?
- 14 A. Sent those screenshots in an e-mail.
- 15 | Q. So let's look at the next day. Directing your attention to
- 16 | line 129. Could you please read the first word in the details
- 17 | column of this line that's dated May 8, 2018 at 4:27 p.m.
- 18 A. "Voicemail."
- 19 | Q. Who was that voicemail left for?
- 20 A. Robert Menendez.
- 21 | Q. Let's listen ton this voicemail.
- 22 MR. MONTELEONI: I'd like to with the Court's
- 23 permission show the jury Government Exhibit A105-C-TR as an aid
- 24 to the jury as we play the voicemail.
- 25 THE COURT: Same instruction, ladies and gentlemen.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The transcript is simply an aid but the evidence is the oral transmission. MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you, your Honor. Mr. Hamill could you please --(Audio playing) MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, on Government Exhibit A105-C-TR we would just note at this time she's not Nadine Menendez and we object to that attribution. She's Nadine Arslanian. THE COURT: They're not married yet. MR. WEITZMAN: Correct. THE COURT: Then it should say Nadine Arslanian. MR. MONTELEONI: Understood. This may recur since we didn't hear that until now. But certainly we have other proposed aids to the jury and we would request that the instruction be given about that. THE COURT: I don't know when they were married so you'll have to tell me and then I'll give the instruction. same person, but obviously she was Nadine Arslanian until she became Nadine Menendez.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

Coughlin - Direct

1241

1 screenshots of Menendez's e-mail about aid to Egypt?

- A. This voicemail was the next day.
- Q. I want to go to a few days later.

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put back up Government Exhibit 1302, page 10.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to line 131. The entry for May 13, 2018 at 5:39 p.m. Who is the sender and the recipient of this e-mail?
- A. Wael Hana.
- 10 \parallel 0. Is he both?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So let's look at this e-mail.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up what's in evidence as Government Exhibit C301.
 - Q. So, directing your attention to numbered point three in this list of numbered points here. Could you expand that.
- Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read this whole
 numbered paragraphs in the e-mail that Hana sends to himself.
- 19 A. "The Egyptian state alone bears the burden of securing its
- 20 western border, which extends to about 1200 kilometers with
- 21 Libya. This insurance is supposed to be a joint responsibility
- 22 between the two sides. However, we succeeded in monitoring and
- 23 destroying about 1300 armed SUVs carrying terrorists during
- 24 July 2013 until the end of 2017."
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put back

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1242

1 up to the left Government Exhibit A402.

Q. Special Agent Coughlin, I'm not going to ask you to read this entire e-mail from Menendez, but directing your attention first to the first paragraph. After the sentence that reads "Last week Senator-1, a frequent critic of the Sisi government, said he would put a hold on up to \$300 million in aid to Egypt unless a series of conditions are met."

Can you please read the next sentence.

- A. "These include providing compensation for April Corley, a U.S. citizen who was grievously injured when Egyptian forces mistakenly bombed a group of tourists in Egypt's western desert in 2015."
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, on C301 to the left, could you please expand point number 7.
 - Q. Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read number point seven.
 - A. "The lawyer of the injured American woman in the western Sahara incident can contact the embassy in Washington for the transfer of his client's point of view to the Egyptian authorities."
 - Q. By the way, the e-mail sent by Menendez mentions Egypt's western desert. Is the Sahara a desert that Egypt is part of?

 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Let's see what happens next.

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please take us

1

4

5

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- back to Government Exhibit 1302, page 10.
- 2 Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to May 14,
- 3 2018, at 12:20 p.m. What does Wael Hana do?
 - A. Sends an e-mail to Nadine Arslanian.
 - Q. What's contained in that e-mail?
- 6 A. Those numbered points we were just referencing.
- 7 Q. The same numbed points he sent himself?
- 8 A. Yes, correct.
- 9 Q. And directing your attention to line 133. Who does Nadine 10 Arslanian send an e-mail to?
- 11 A. Robert Menendez.
- 12 | Q. Let's look at that e-mail.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: So first, Mr. Hamill, could you please put up Government Exhibit 1435 and take us to the bottom of page 7, top of page 8.

Now I am going to read paragraph 7. The physical exhibit marked for identification as Government Exhibit B100 is a cell phone that was assigned the call number ending in 1745 until April 13, 2019, and that the FBI lawfully seized from the residence of Robert Menendez and Nadine Menendez on June 16, 2022. Government Exhibit B100 is referred to in this stipulation as the first Nadine Menendez cell phone.

Now Mr. Hamill, could you please scroll down to page 8. I'll now read paragraph 7(b).

The documents marked for identification as Government

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

Exhibits B101 to B103 are true and correct copies of e-mail data extracted from the first Nadine Menendez cell phone.

Mr. Hamill, could you please put up what's in evidence as Government Exhibit B101. Expand the text on that page.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention first to the text on the upper left of the page. Under the logo and above the orange line, could you please read the title starting extraction.
- A. "Extraction report Apple iOS full file system."
- Q. Then could you please read under the orange line that word on the left.
- 12 | A. "E-mails."
- Q. In the parties column, could you please read the e-mail address the report indicates this e-mail was sent from.
 - A. Nad070@yahoo.com.
 - Q. Below that could you please read the e-mail address the report indicates this e-mail was sent to?
- 18 A. Bobmenendez154@gmail.com.
- Q. Directing your attention to the other column, could you please read the word on the first line after status.
- 21 A. "Sent."
- 22 Q. Let's look at the e-mail itself.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up
 what's in evidence as Government Exhibit B101-A and expand that
 text.

2

3

4

5

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, who is the sender?
- A. Nadine Arslan.
- Q. And who is the recipient?
- A. Robert Menendez.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up to the left Government Exhibit C 405, the e-mail that Hana sent
- 7 to Nadine Arslanian earlier that day, the numbered points.
- 8 Q. So, Special Agent Coughlin, how does Government Exhibit
- 9 B101-A, the e-mail data extracted from the first Nadine
- 10 | Menendez cell phone, compare to the first part of Government
- 11 | Exhibit C405, the e-mail from Nadine Arslanian a few minutes
- 12 | earlier?
- 13 A. So, the one looks the same. Exactly the same. The first
- 14 | numbered point, then there is a portion of that second numbered
- 15 point, and then it stops.
- 16 Q. Does this e-mail data extracted from the first Nadine
- 17 Menendez cell phone actually stop in the middle of a sentence?
- 18 A. Yes. It does.
- 19 Q. Let's jump ahead towards the end of the month we've been
- 20 looking at May 2018.
- 21 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up
- 22 Government Exhibit 1302, page 14.
- 23 | Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to
- 24 | line 183 on May 28, 2018 at 3:49 p.m. What does Hana send
- 25 | Nadine Arslanian?

- A. An e-mail.
- 2 | Q. What's in that e-mail?
- 3 A. It's the e-mail that we've been looking at with the
- 4 | numbered points on it.
- Q. Is this the full one or is this one that ends in the middle
- 6 of the sentence?
- 7 A. It's not the full one.
- 8 Q. Sorry. This one, if you look at the end of the detail
- 9 cell. The one that Hana sends to Nadine Arslanian, is this
- 10 | full or partial?
- 11 A. I'm sorry, thank you, yes. This was the full e-mail.
- 12 | Q. Let's look at the next line. At 4:26 p.m. that day,
- 13 | Special Agent Coughlin, about how long does the chart reflect
- 14 | that the e-mail in this line was sent after the previous line
- 15 | where Hana resends the full e-mail of the numbered points to
- 16 Nadine?
- 17 A. It's about 40 minutes later.
- 18 Q. Let's look at this e-mail.
- 19 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please first
- 20 | publish the report which is in evidence as Government Exhibit
- 21 B102.
- 22 | Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the
- 23 parties column. What e-mail address is this e-mail sent from?
- A. Nad070@yahoo.com.
- 25 Q. What e-mail address was it sent to?

1

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

- A. Bobmenendez154@gmail.com.
- 2 Q. Directing your attention to the other column, what's listed
- 3 as the status of this e-mail?
 - A. "Sent."
- 5 Q. Let's look at this e-mail data extracted from the device.
- 6 MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish
 7 what's in evidence as Government Exhibit B102-A.
- Q. So, Special Agent Coughlin, could you please read the first portion of the body paragraph up to "sent from my iPhone."
 - A. "Love of my life, please could you fix this letter and send it back to, e. I want to prove a point to the general. He and Will just got me clearance for a project. Thank you my love very very very very much" heart emoji.
 - Q. And now could you please read the text from begin forwarded message to the end of the e-mail.
 - A. "Begin forwarded message from W. Hana W.Hana@loundeseg.com.

 Date May 28, 2018, at 3:49:11 p.m. EDT to nad070@yahoo.com,

 nad070@yahoo.com. Subject FWD. 1. The insistence of the
- Egyptian public opinion, and not only the leadership on the continuation of the ongoing M."
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put up to the left Government Exhibit C 406.
- Q. While that's coming up, it ended with the letter M. Did
 the e-mail just stop in the middle of a word?
- 25 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

Q. So, Special Agent Coughlin, how does this forwarded message from Nadine Arslanian to Menendez that you just read cut off in the middle of a word compare to the first portion of the e-mail that was sent by Hana to Nadine Arslanian earlier that day?

A. It's the same, the very first bullet, a portion of that first bullet.

MR. MONTELEONI: You can take down Government Exhibit C406, Mr. Hamill. Thank you.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the first line of the message where Nadine Arslanian writes "I want to prove a point to the general." Does she specify who the general is?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. She writes "He and Will just got me clearance for a project." Does she specify what the project is?
- 16 A. No.
 - Q. Let's look at what Menendez does after this e-mail where

 Nadine says "please can you fix this letter and send it back to

 me."
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put back up Government Exhibit --
- MR. WEITZMAN: I object to the commentary from counsel before he poses questions.
- 24 THE COURT: Fair enough. The jury knows to disregard 25 any comments of the lawyers. Proceed.

Coughlin - Direct

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put back up Government Exhibit 1302, page 14. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to May 28, at 8:34 p.m. First, how does that time compare to the time of the fragmentary e-mail we were just looking at? The one at 4:26 p.m.? It's about four hours later. (Continued on next page)

05sWmen4

Coughlin - Direct

- 1 Q. Who is the sender of the email listed in this line?
- 2 A. Robert Menendez.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Let's look at the email. Mr. Hamill,
- 4 | could you please publish what's in evidence as Government
- 5 Exhibit A403.

- 6 Q. So, what email address did Menendez send this email from?
- 7 A. His Gmail address.
 - Q. What email address was it sent to?
- 9 A. Nadine Arslanian.
- 10 Q. How did those compare to the addresses in the previous
- 11 | email, where, that we just looked at, the fragmentary one?
- 12 A. Yeah, those are the same email addresses.
- 13 | Q. Could you please read the subject line?
- 14 A. "Letter."
- 15 Q. Directing your attention to the first line of the body, the
- 16 word "dear," is there a name after dear?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Is there a comma after dear?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. There's some space between the word "dear" and the comma?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 MR. MONTELEONI: I want to look at the end of the
- 23 | email for a moment. Mr. Hamill, could you please show us page
- 24 2 of Government Exhibit A403 and expand just the top half of
- 25 the page.

Q.	Is	there	any	name	written	after	respectfully	and	the	comma?
	Is	there	any	name	written	after	respectfully	and	the	comma
in this email?										

A. No.

MR. MONTELEONI: Go through a few parts of the email in more detail. Mr. Hamill, please take us back to the first page of Government Exhibit A403 and expand the top half.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the paragraph underneath dear and the blank spaces and the comma, please read the first paragraph of the letter.
- A. "I write to respond to some of the issues that have been raised by Senator 1 and others which have led to a hold on \$300 million in appropriated aid to Egypt."
- MR. MONTELEONI: Let's pull up the numbered points that Hana sent to Nadine Arslanian earlier that day.
- Mr. Hamill, could you please pull up to the left Government Exhibit C406.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention first in Government Exhibit A403 to the third paragraph, starting "the government of Egypt alone," could you please read the first sentence of that paragraph?
- A. "The government of Egypt alone bears the burden of securing its western border which extends to about 1,200 km with Libya."
- Q. Directing your attention to the numbered point three, the email from Hana to Arslanian, could you please read the first

05sWmen4

2

3

Coughlin - Direct

1252

1 sentence of numbered point three?

- A. "The Egyptian state alone bears the burden of securing its western border, which extends to about 1,200 km with Libya."
- 4 Q. About how similar is the sentence you just read from the
- 5 | numbered points that Hana sent Arslanian to the sentence that
- 6 you previously read from the email that Menendez sent to
- 7 | Arslanian?
- A. It's very similar. They changed from Egyptian state to government of Egypt.
- 10 Q. Directing your attention back to Government Exhibit A403,
- 11 | same paragraph, please read the next two sentences after what
- 12 | you just read, from "this security effort" to "until the end of
- 13 | 2017."
- 14 A. "This security effort was supposed to be a joint
- 15 | responsibility between the two sides. However, notwithstanding
- 16 | that the burden has fallen to Egypt alone, we have succeeded in
- 17 monitoring and destroying about 1,300 armed SUVs carrying
- 18 terrorists from July 2013 until the end of 2017."
- 19 | O. About how similar are those two sentences that Menendez
- 20 sent in Government Exhibit A403 to the last two sentences in
- 21 | numbered point three, the email from Hana, C406?
- 22 A. Again, it's very similar. There's a few changes.
- 23 | Q. As you read the paragraph where it says, "The government of
- 24 | Egypt alone bears the burden of securing its western border"
- 25 and then later says, "Notwithstanding that the burden has

fallen to Egypt alone, we have succeeded in monitoring and destroying about 1,300 armed SUVs," who do you read the we to refer to?

A. The government of Egypt.

Egypt, government of Egypt.

- Q. Directing your attention to the next paragraph, starting,
 "It is not only the government of Egypt," could you please read
 that whole sentence?
- A. "It is not only the government of Egypt that carries out this sovereign right to protect our citizens, as your government does at home and across the world, but it is the demand of the Egyptian people, as evidenced in public opinion poll after another."
- Q. As you read the sentence where it says it's not only the government of Egypt that carries out this sovereign right to protect our citizens, who do you read the our to refer to?

MR. MONTELEONI: Please take us to the bottom of page 1, Government Exhibit A403, Mr. Hamill.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the second-to-last paragraph on that page, please read the sentence from "As it relates to Egyptian authorities."
- A. "As it relates to the demands for compensation in the case of April Corley, her claims about being grievously injured in the western Sahara during a terrorist operation, we invite her lawyer to contact the embassy in Washington for the transfer of

O5sWmen4

25

Coughlin - Direct

his client's claims to Egyptian authorities." 1 2 MR. MONTELEONI: First of all, Mr. Hamill, could you 3 show us numbered point seven in the email from Hana. Q. And about how similar is the sentence to what you just read 4 5 that Menendez sent to numbered point seven in the email of numbered points that Hana sent to Nadine Arslanian earlier that 6 7 day? A. It's similar. I would say exhibit A403 has some additional 8 9 language. 10 Q. How would you characterize the writing style of A403 11 compared to --12 MR. WEITZMAN: Objection, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Sustained. 14 BY MR. MONTELEONI: 15 Q. All right. Could you read the entirety of Government Exhibit A403? 16 17 Sorry. MR. WEITZMAN: 18 THE COURT: Is that the one on the left or the one on 19 the right? 20 MR. MONTELEONI: The one on the left. If I can't ask 21 the witness about this, I'll just ask the jury to compare the 22 writing styles. 23 MR. WEITZMAN: Objection, your Honor. 2.4 THE COURT: Sustained again as to form.

What are you asking the jury to do, sir? I don't know

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 | what it means to compare the writing styles.

MR. MONTELEONI: Oh, I see.

THE COURT: If you don't need the jury to do anything and you have these documents admitted into evidence, it seems to me we can move on.

MR. MONTELEONI: All right. That's fine, your Honor. Thank you.

Q. So at the time that Menendez sent this email, could you please remind the jury what Menendez's occupation was?

MR. WEITZMAN: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: I think it's self-evident, sir. Under 611, move on.

MR. MONTELEONI: All right. You can take those down, Mr. Hamill, and put back up Government Exhibit 1302, page 15.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the line for May 28, 2018, at 9:02 p.m., the top of the page, who is the sender and recipient of the email on that line?
- A. It's both Nadine Arslanian.

MR. MONTELEONI: And let's look at that email.

Mr. Hamill, could you please put up what's in evidence as

Government Exhibit B504 and expand the bottom email.

Thank you.

Q. Directing your attention to the text underneath the words "sent from my iPhone" and "begin forwarded," I'm not going to ask you to read it all, but how does this compare to the body

05sWmen4

Coughlin - Direct

1256

1 text of the email from Menendez that we just saw?

- A. It's the same.
- Q. Where it says "begin forwarded," does it list any sender
- 4 information showing who the email was forwarded from?
- 5 | A. No.

2

6

- Q. Does Menendez's name appear anywhere in this email?
- A. It does not.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please expand the top email.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, who does Nadine Arslanian forward this email to?
- 12 A. She then forwards it to Will Hana, Wael Hana.
- MR. MONTELEONI: I want to jump ahead in time briefly.
- 14 Mr. Hamill, could you please take that down. Put up Government
- 15 | Exhibit 1302, page 25.
- 16 Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to July 9,
- 17 | 2018, at 5:43 p.m., who does it list as sending WhatsApp
- 18 messages to Wael Hana?
- 19 A. Ahmed Helmy.
- 20 MR. MONTELEONI: Let's look at who Ahmed Helmy is for
- 21 | a moment. Now, I believe I previously offered Government
- 22 | Exhibit 8A-15 in evidence subject to connection, but I believe
- 23 | counsel indicated there may not have been a ruling. We offer
- 24 Government Exhibit 8A-15 subject to connection.
- 25 THE COURT: Admitted, subject to connection.

(Government Exhibit 8A-15 received in evidence)

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish

Government Exhibit 8A-15. Expand the middle third of the first page.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the second full paragraph, beginning "The attached documents," could you please read the first sentence from "The attached documents." And you can stop when you get to control number.
- A. "The attached documents are true, accurate and complete copies of the information contained in the consular consolidated database maintained by the U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Consular Affairs for the nonimmigrant visa application submitted by Ahmed Helmy Amin Aboel Nor (DOB 1969) and adjudicated at the U.S. Department of the State, Bureau of Consular Affairs, visa office in Washington D.C."

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please take us to the second page of Government Exhibit 8A-15 and expand from the photo down, down about halfway down the page.

Thank you.

- Q. Now, first of all, this name, Ahmed Helmy Amin Aboel Nor, is that listed as an alternate name for Ahmed Helmy in Government Exhibit 1305, the attribution chart?

 A. Yes.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Richenthal, could you please put Government Exhibit 2A-10 on the board, the associated name.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

- Q. Here, on Government Exhibit 8A-15, page 2, what is the type of passport listed after the passport number?
- A. Diplomatic.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish page 3 of Government Exhibit 8A-15 and expand the top half of the page.
 - Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the gray box under visa details, can you please read the visa class?
- A. "Ambassador, diplomat or Conoff and Inmed family, A1."
- 11 Q. At the bottom of that box, could you please read the annotation?
- 13 A. "Embassy of Egypt, Washington, D.C., clearance received 27
 14 August 2020."
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Let's go back now and look at the messages from Helmy to Hana that we left off with.
 - You can take this down, Mr. Hamill.
- Thank you. And please put back Government Exhibit

 19 1302, page 25.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to July 9, 21 2018, at 5:43 p.m., so, first, what program was Helmy using to
- 22 communicate with Hana?
- 23 A. They were using WhatsApp.
- 24 | Q. What is WhatsApp?
- 25 A. It's similar to Viber. It's another third-party encrypted

1 messaging app.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Is this commonly commercially available?
- 3 A. It is, yeah.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Let's take a look at some of these screenshots that Helmy is listed as sending to Hana.

Mr. Hamill, could you please publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit C207-A and expand the bottom half of the page.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the bottom bullet on the, before the page break, starting, "We are surprised and confused," can you please read the first sentence of that paragraph?
- A. "We are surprised and confused that the case of the murder of Italian researcher, Giuliano Reggini, an Italian citizen, is the subject of U.S. inquiry and a cause for withholding aid to Egypt."

MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, can you please pull up to the left Government Exhibit A403, this email that we were looking at that Menendez sent to Nadine. Publish the third paragraph from the bottom, page 1.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to this paragraph, starting, "We are surprised and confused," could you please read the first sentence of that paragraph in the letter sent by Menendez?
- A. "We are surprised and confused that the case of the murder

O5sWmen4

Coughlin - Direct

- of Italian researcher Giuliano Reggini, a Italian citizen, is the subject of U.S. inquiry and a cause for withholding aid to Egypt."
 - Q. About how similar is that with what you just read?
- 5 A. Very similar.
- Q. So, I'm not going to ask you to read through them all, but are there other similarities in the screenshots sent by Helmy to Hana in July and the email sent by Menendez in May?
- 9 | A. Yes.

- 10 MR. MONTELEONI: Let's look at another of these
 11 screenshots.
- 12 Mr. Hamill, could you please put up what's in evidence 13 as Government Exhibit C207-E.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the salutation, is this letter addressed to a senator?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Redaction for a senator?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And what -- can you read the line, the two lines under the senator's redaction?
- 21 A. "Foreign Relations Committee, United States Senate."
- 22 THE COURT: Once again, any senator who has a number 23 by his or her name is not Senator Menendez.
- 24 MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you, your Honor.
- 25 Let's look at who this letter's listed as being from.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Coughlin - Direct

1261

1 Mr. Hamill, could you please put up what's in evidence as 2 Government Exhibit C207-C.

All right.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the phrase "looking forward to hearing back from you" in this screenshot, please read the rest of the text on the page after that.
- A. "Respectfully, Chairman and Members of the Foreign
 Relations Committee, Parliament of the Arab Republic of Egypt."
 Q. By the way, were you ever shown any document indicating one
- way or another whether this letter shown in these screenshots
 was or was not ever sent to the Senate Foreign Relations
 Committee?
- 14 A. No.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: All right. We jumped ahead to July 2018, but now I want to go back and look at something else that happened in May 2018.
 - Mr. Hamill, could you please put back up Government Exhibit 1302, page 11.
 - Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to line 159, on May 18, 2018, at 12:49 p.m., please read what Hana texts to Nadine arrest?
- 23 A. "But we are in for tonight."
- Q. At 12:52 p.m., what does the next line indicate Nadine's response is?

- 1
 - A. She says yes.
- Q. Skipping down a few lines, to May 18, 2018, the first entry
- 3 | for 3:49 p.m., what does Nadine Arslanian write?
- 4 A. She writes: "7 p.m. Ill Villaggio. I will send you the
- 5 address shortly."
- 6 MR. MONTELEONI: Now, if we could go to the next page,
- 7 | Mr. Hamill, two lines down -- or on line 165, the top line on
- 8 page 12.
- 9 Q. What does Nadine Arslanian send Hana at 3:50 p.m.?
- 10 A. She sends a screenshot of Google search results of the
- 11 restaurant, Ill Villaggio.
- 12 | Q. Next line, 166, May 18, 2018, at 10:07 p.m., who does the
- 13 chart reflect that Hana texts?
- 14 A. Khaled Shawky.
- 15 \parallel Q. The same Khaled Shawky that you previously testified about
- 16 | the meeting with Menendez, Nadine and Hana in March?
- 17 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 18 | Q. Please read what Hana texts to Shawky in the next two lines
- 19 | in order.
- 20 | A. "The ban on small arms and ammunition to Egypt has been
- 21 | lifted. That means sales can begin. That will include sniper
- 22 | rifles among other articles. Call you about it tomorrow."
- 23 | Q. Few lines down, line 170, at 11:18 p.m., please read what
- 24 | the chart reflects that Shawky emails to two people named
- 25 Andrew King and Brett O'Brien.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. "Dear team, I hope you are having a great weekend. I was just told privately now that ban small arms on Egypt was left today. Can you help confirm that. Please keep it private.

Khaled."

MR. MONTELEONI: Now, you just testified that a few hours before Hana texted Shawky about the small arms ban, Nadine Arslanian had texted Hana 7 p.m. Ill Villaggio and sent Google search results for a restaurant. Let's look at what was sent the next day.

- Q. Directing your attention to page 13, Government Exhibit 1302, on May 19, 2018, starting at 10:12 a.m., what does -- or 10:11 a.m., really, what does Nadine Arslanian text Hana?
- A. A number of photographs.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Let's look at a few of them quickly.
- Mr. Hamill, could you please put up Government Exhibit

 16 B105-G.
 - Q. Special Agent Coughlin, who do you recognize in this photograph?
 - A. On our left, that's Nadine Arslanian. In the middle is Robert Menendez. And on the right is Wael Hana.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please pull up Government Exhibit B105-H.
- 23 Please put up Government Exhibit B105-I.
- 24 Please put up Government Exhibit B105-K.
- 25 All right. So we've been looking at May 2018. Let's

Coughlin - Direct

1 go to June.

2

3

4

Mr. Hamill, could you please publish Government Exhibit 1302, page 19.

- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to line
- 5 248 at 6:46 p.m. on June 28, 2018, who does Hana send a
- 6 WhatsApp message to?
- 7 A. Khaled Shawky.
- 8 Q. Directing your attention to the details column, what do the
- 9 | brackets and italics that are in the detail column mean?
- 10 A. That means it comes from a translation.
- 11 | Q. What language was this translated from?
- 12 A. Arabic.
- Q. Please read the translated message reflected in the detail
- 14 cell.
- 15 | A. "Good evening. The meeting will be on the 26th."
- 16 Q. Directing your attention to the next line, who sends an
- 17 | email to Hana on June 29, 2018?
- 18 A. Viv Montemayor.
- 19 MR. MONTELEONI: Let's look at that email.
- 20 Mr. Hamill, could you please publish Government
- 21 Exhibit C407 and put up the email on pages 2 to 3 of that
- 22 document.
- 23 OK.
- 24 | Q. So directing your attention to the signature block at the
- 25 | top of page 3, please read what's written under the words

- 1 | Vivien G. Montemayor.
- 2 | A. "Egyptian Defense Office."
- Q. And how does the street address compare to the address on
- 4 Major General Shawky's business card?
- 5 A. It's the same.
- 6 MR. MONTELEONI: Now, could we go to the bottom of page 2, Mr. Hamill.
- 8 I think that's page -- OK.
 - Yes. Thank you.
- 10 Q. Directing your attention to the body of this message here
- 11 on the bottom of page 2, please read the paragraph starting
- 12 Maj. Gen. Khaled Shawky?
- 13 A. "Maj. Gen. Khaled Shawky mentioned that the meeting of the
- 14 Egyptian military white paper delegation with Senator Menendez
- 15 | will be on July 26, Thursday. May you kindly let us know what
- 16 | time the meeting will be and the office location."
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please put back
- 18 up Government Exhibit 1302, page 19.
- 19 Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the
- 20 | first entry for June 30, 2018, please read what Nadine texts to
- 21 Hana.

- 22 A. "I am driving. I will call you in 30 minutes. My car does
- 23 | not have navigation or noise or radio, so I'm using my phone.
- 24 | I am dictating this to you. I will call you when I pull over.
- 25 | If you are free for dinner tonight, we can go for duck in the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

1 | city at Mr. Chow, 57 Street."

- Q. Now, before we move on to some documents from that meeting, could you please note the date of that message, when Nadine
- 4 said we can go for duck in the city at Mr. Chow, 57 Street?
 - A. Yes. That's June 30, 2018.

the number at the end.

- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 7A-4. Go to the first page.
 - Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention under the large word "reservations," and the line starting "diner lookup," under those, looking at the line starting reservations, please read that line, except you can leave out
- A. "Reservations information for Will Hana."
 - MR. MONTELEONI: And Mr. Hamill, could you please show us page 3, Government Exhibit 7A-4, and the bottom set of entries on the page.
- Q. Please first read, at the bottom left of the page, the words after date time local?
- A. Saturday, June 30, 2018, 7 p.m.
- Q. How does that June 30, 2018, date compare to the date when
 Nadine sent the text you just read, saying if you're free for
 dinner tonight, we can go for duck in the city at Mr. Chow?
- 24 A. Yeah. It's the same day she invited him.
 - Q. Looking on the left of the page, the second line from the

Coughlin - Direct

1267

- bottom, just above the date time local, please read the words after restaurant?
- 3 A. Mr. Chow, 57th.
 - Q. One line up from that, can you please read the party size?
- 5 A. Three.

4

6

7

- Q. One line up from that, can you please read the status?
- A. Seated.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 10H-4.
 - Q. Special Agent Coughlin, what are we looking at here?
- 11 A. This is a photo from the Mr. Chow website, the restaurant's website.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish
 Government Exhibit 7A-3. And just expand the top half of the
 page.
- Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the top center, what's the company name by the logo?
- 18 A. OpenTable.
- 19 | Q. What's OpenTable?
- A. It's -- they have an app or a website you can use to make restaurant reservations.
- Q. Directing your attention to the top left, what are the words written after the three lines in the top left corner?
- 24 A. Mr. Chow, 57th.
- 25 Q. Directing your attention to the center, a bit down from the

3

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Coughlin - Direct

1268

top between the words "sat June 30" and "shift one," a line down from those, please read the name there.

- A. Yes. Will Hana.
- Q. Going from that to the bottom text on the page, the line that says booked, please read the words under booked?
- 6 A. 7 p.m., party of three.
 - Q. One line up, where it says arrived, please read the time next to arrived.
 - A. 6:59 p.m.
- Q. One line above that, where it says seated, please read the time next to seated.
- 12 | A. 7 p.m.
- Q. One line above that, where it says finished, please read the time next to finished.
- 15 A. 8:57 p.m.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: And so I want to look at some things that happened between the 7 p.m. seated time reflected on this record and the 8:57 p.m. finished time reflected on this record.
 - Mr. Hamill, could you please take us back to Government Exhibit 1302, page 19.
 - Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the line that says June 30, 2018, 7:18 p.m., how long is that after the seated time we just saw from the previous records?
- 25 A. That's 18 minutes.

7

8

- Q. Could you please -- who does Hana send a WhatsApp message to at 7:18 p.m., according to this line?
 - A. Khaled Shawky.
- Q. Please read the translation of what Hana texts to Maj. Gen.
- 5 Shawky in this message.
- 6 A. "On the 25th at 2 o'clock."
 - Q. Directing your attention to the next line, when did Hana send this WhatsApp message to Maj. Gen. Shawky?
 - A. About a half an hour later.
- 10 | Q. Please read the translation on this line.
- 11 A. "Dinner on the 25th at 8 p.m."
- MR. MONTELEONI: Let's look at the end of this dinner.
- Mr. Hamill, could you please publish what's in
- 14 | evidence as Government Exhibit 7B-1.
- 15 Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the top
- 16 center of Government Exhibit 7B-1 first, can you read the name
- 17 and address at the top?
- 18 A. Yes. Mr. Chow, 324 East 57th Street, New York, New York
- 19 10022.
- 20 Q. Directing your attention to the right, a bit down, can you
- 21 please read the date?
- 22 A. Yes. 6/30/2018.
- 23 | Q. Under the date, below the fifth line, please read the time.
- 24 A. 8:57 p.m.
- 25 | Q. How does that 8:57 p.m. time on this check compare to the

Coughlin - Direct

1 | finished time on the OpenTable records we were just looking at?

- A. It's the same.
- Q. Directing your attention to the left side, under the words
- 4 | "table 4/1," how many guests are listed?
- 5 A. Three.

- 6 Q. Two lines down, the line that starts total, please read the
- 7 | number written after total.
- 8 A. 424.61.
- 9 Q. All right. And then near -- well, so, that's one total.
- 10 And then a line down from there, after all the Xs, what are the
- 11 | four digits after those Xs?
- 12 A. 1017.
- 13 | Q. And then two lines down from that, what's the total on that
- 14 line?
- 15 A. 524.61.
- MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, can you please publish
- 17 | what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 5E-101A.
- 18 Q. Special Agent Coughlin, directing your attention to the
- 19 upper left, where it says Antranig Aslanian Jr., is that the
- 20 | same person you testified is often referred to as Andy
- 21 | Aslanian?
- 22 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And the line below, where it says account number ending in,
- 24 please read the last four digits.
- 25 A. 1017.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

- Q. How do those last four digits compare to the last four digits on the Mr. Chow's check we just saw?
- A. They're the same.
 - Q. One line down, please read the dates after the words "billing period."
 - A. 06/16/18 to 07/16/18.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: Mr. Hamill, could you please publish page 3 of Government Exhibit 5E-101A. Expand the middle third of the page.
- Q. Directing your attention to the last two lines before the words "fees charged," please read the description column in that last line that has that 0630 and the trans date.
- 13 A. Mr. Chow of New York, New York.
- Q. Please read the amount number in the amount line, column for that line.
- 16 A. \$524.61.
 - MR. MONTELEONI: And one more thing before we're at a natural stopping point.
- Mr. Hamill, could you please put back up Government
 Exhibit 1302, page 20.
- Q. Directing your attention to the lines for 10:18 and 10:19
 p.m. on June 30, 2018, what does Nadine Arslanian send Hana at
 those times?
- A. She texted him photos of Robert Menendez, her -- Nadine -- and Wael Hana.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is that a few hours after the finished time that we've just 2 been looking at on this date?

A. Yeah, about a -- yeah, almost two hours.

MR. MONTELEONI: All right. Your Honor, this is a good time to stop.

THE COURT: All right. It is 5 o'clock.

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your attention today. You've also asked that you be given some advance notice of the schedule of the Court. Tomorrow, Wednesday, we'll go 9:30 to five. And any day, by the way, that the jury wants to stay past five, just let my deputy know, but I'm assuming normally you wish to end at five. Again, if that's not true, we'll just continue.

Tomorrow, 9:30 to five. Thursday, 9:30 to five. Friday, ten to five. And Monday a half day, one to five. 9:30 to five, 9:30 to five, ten to five, Monday, half a day starting at one -- again, eat beforehand. And sorry I gave you incorrect information. Apparently the patio is part of the cafeteria, so we ask that you not go to the patio. And then the rest of next week will be every day presumably 9:30 to five. I'll give you more information as available.

Enjoy the evening. Nowadays there's still light that you can have. Don't discuss this case. Don't listen to any news reports. Keep an open mind. See you tomorrow at 930 (Continued on next page) Thank you.

2.4

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: You may step down, sir, and out, if you would.

(Witness not present)

THE COURT: You may be seated in the courtroom.

Was there anything left hanging here in terms of subject to connection, and specifically in regard to, I think, Helmy?

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, your Honor.

My understanding is that the defense is not wanting to stipulate to the authenticity of the State Department records.

I think that we are trying to arrange a custodian for the State Department.

Under Rule 902(1), these are clearly self-authenticating records because they bear the seal of the United States and they bear a signature and they bear a certification. So really all of the requisites are satisfied, and we think that they should just be admitted into evidence as self-authenticating documents on the basis of that rule. But in order to move things along, we submitted them subject to connection to work things out later.

THE COURT: All right. Try to work it out, gentlemen.

MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, if I may?

We did tell them we wouldn't stipulate; for the first time they told us today that they're offering it as

self-authenticating. So it is self-authenticating. There is no need for a stipulation. However, there is a relevance objection. For example, your Honor, GX8A-15, which was one of the diplomats, the date on it is 2020. It's issued August 2020, which is two years after the relevant events at the time that they introduced it. So there's a disconnect here.

We have issues with the relevance because it states diplomat on these and then it says diplomat on some Qatari ones, and there's no explanation as to why certain people are called diplomats because it's not just embassy personnel.

THE COURT: No. I thought it said, if I understood it correctly -- now I forget what the acronym was -- consular officials, ambassadors, consular officials, and something else.

MR. WEITZMAN: And our point is that there needs to be a witness to explain what that means, because they're going to argue in summation, I suspect, that the Qatari, the founder of Heritage, because his visa says diplomat or consular, that he's somehow a government official, when he is not. So our objection is that this is misleading and confusing, because there is no explanation from anybody from the State Department to explain why.

THE COURT: And you want somebody from the State

Department to explain what consular official means, or whatever that designation is.

MR. WEITZMAN: And whether you need to be employed at

24

25

1 the consulate or embassy in order to --2 THE COURT: All right. 3 Government. 4 MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, we expect to be 5 presenting a State Department witness. We thought that the real issue was with the Qatari visa records, which we're not 6 7 They're not among these that we're offering. offering yet. We didn't really realize that their concerns also included --8 9 THE COURT: That's why the parties need to talk to 10 each either and give advance notice to each other. How many 11 times do I have to say that? 12 Go ahead. 13 MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, your Honor. I will say we've 14 been trying. There's been a lot going to. We're certainly 15 attempting to do so. We think that all these issues that Mr. Weitzman raised go to weight, not the admissibility, but in 16 17 any event, we're expecting to offer State Department --18 THE COURT: Fine. Taken care of. 19 Now, let me ask another question, and I don't mean 20 this negatively; I'm just trying to understand it. Why did you 21 spend about 15 or 20 minutes on dinner at Mr. Chow's, including 22 that it took one minute to get them seated and that they had

MR. MONTELEONI: Well, yeah. So, the money, right, was spent to them, and we're obviously not saying --

duck and spent a fair amount of money?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE COURT: The money what?

MR. MONTELEONI: Was spent by Andy Aslanian for them.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MONTELEONI: So they were actually receiving a thing of value, obviously not a very valuable one, in the course of the whole scheme. But this is where the stopping point sort of hurt us. We would've explained that the texts that were sent --

THE COURT: The stopping point of the questioning today, you mean?

Tomorrow I think MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, just at 5 p.m. that what you'll hear is that those WhatsApp messages that Hana sends while dining with Menendez in Manhattan that he then electronically sends to Shawky set the date and time for a meeting.

THE COURT: You've already established that, the 25th.

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, exactly, but we're going to see what happens at the meeting, that the meeting is what leads up to Menendez sending the text that we've heard so much about, the text about the tank ammunition sale, the prospective promise of an official act. So the fact that this is being worked out and that the date is being confirmed here in Manhattan is relevant to venue as well as the --

THE COURT: All right. OK. I'll see everybody tomorrow at 9:30.

2.4

MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, I do have one thing -THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WEITZMAN: -- and I apologize.

As your Honor will recall, we objected to the summary chart because we thought it was going to be an improper summation. And the point of a summary chart is that you summarize voluminous records that cannot be examined in court easily.

What's happened is not only is it a mini summation, where the prosecutor says 50 words for every word of the witness, what happens is they show the underlying document, they show the summary chart entry, they go back to the underlying document, then they go to the next entry, they show the same underlying document, they the show the summary chart, and they're examining — they're showing all the underlying documents. That's exactly what a summary chart is supposed to avoid. And we've done four hours today on this and gotten through 20 pages. We have a 97-page summary chart. I know we are trying to keep cross-examinations tight. You are keeping us on a tight leash. We understand the reason for doing that, but this —

THE COURT: I'm sorry. What you've just said in a way assists you --

MR. WEITZMAN: Correct.

THE COURT: -- because they're going into more areas

and, therefore, less to be, quote, beyond the scope on cross-examination. So that seems to be, if I understand your argument, helping you.

MR. WEITZMAN: It's not about the substance, although I understand that point. It's about the repetition and the fact that every -- it feels like they're showing the summary chart and then they're showing the underlying document and they're going back to the summary chart. The same entries, we're hearing them three or four times. How many times have we seen --

THE COURT: All right. The point is repetition.

What's the response, sir?

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, your Honor.

We're not repeating things just to repeat them. We're trying to situate things in time. The chronological sequence and the interrelatedness between certain events is absolutely critical. In many ways, this case is, really has a critical focus on the documents, and as the Court knows, this is just for one portion of the case. There's a lot of documents. In order for the jury to make sense of them, so it's not a series of atomized actions which, in isolation, some phone call, what does that even mean? Some email, what does that mean?

That's obviously the way that the defense, I think, would like the jury to be assessing the exhibit, but it's very important that we be able to draw the jury's attention to the

2.4

chronological sequence.

I will also say --

THE COURT: I don't disagree with that, but I think there's some merit to Mr. Weitzman's point to the extent that you have him read aloud both the document sent to him and then the document that's sent out by him. And instead, you really can ask this witness, I think, are they essentially identical, without having to go through twice what they, in fact, have, especially because, if I understand it correctly, you've got the underlying documents in evidence. You can argue in summation from those documents. I'm not disagreeing with the way you're going about it, but I am agreeing with Mr. Weitzman that there's some unnecessary repetition, especially when you have the witness, who seems to understand where you're going each time.

MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you, your Honor.

We'll take a look this evening to tighten it up. I will say part of this is -- actually it's very important at the beginning of a summary witness things to show the jury documents to an extent so they don't think we're just making up --

THE COURT: No, I understand that.

MR. MONTELEONI: We're going to go more to the chart.

THE COURT: I understand that now.

Let me ask a personal question. Did you happen to

```
grow up in New York, sir?
1
 2
              MR. MONTELEONI: I did.
 3
               THE COURT: Well, you speak very quickly. I was
 4
      getting looks of screaming eyes from the interpreters and the
 5
      reporters. Slow down. All New Yorkers speak too fast, and
 6
      when you're in a courtroom situation like this, people tend to
7
      speed up. So try to slow down a bit, please. Both the
      interpreters and the reporters were signaling distress.
8
 9
              MR. MONTELEONI: Understand, your Honor. It's my
10
      upbringing. It's my personality. It's also that I know
11
      there's a lot of documents, and I get a little nervous that the
12
      jury's getting bored.
13
               THE COURT: I don't think you have to get nervous
14
      about that.
15
              MR. MONTELEONI:
                               All right.
16
               THE COURT: You understand.
17
              MR. MONTELEONI: Absolutely, your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Let's go forward.
19
              MR. MONTELEONI: Sorry. There's one thing that's
20
      important. We've, as you know, sought reconsideration or
21
     modification of your ruling regarding the speech or debate
22
      clause.
23
               THE COURT: Yes.
24
              MR. MONTELEONI: And we offered the summary chart and
```

the exhibits underlying it subject to that motion, where we

THE COURT: The Court wants a response.

9:30 tomorrow. Thank you.

2.4

25

(Adjourned to May 29, 2024, at 9:30 a.m.)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	JAMELA MAALI
4	Direct By Mr. Mark
5	Cross By Mr de Castro
6	Cross By Mr. Lustberg
7	Cross By Mr. Weitzman
8	MICHAEL F. COUGHLIN
9	Direct By Mr. Monteleoni
10	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
11	Exhibit No. Received
12	2A-22
13	5A-1001A, 5A-1001B and 5A-1001C
14	3D-6
15	8A1, 8B3, 8B6, 8B8, 8B10, 8B12, 8B16,1168
16	8B19, 8B20, 8B21, 8B22, 12B1,
17	12B2, 12B3 and 12B4
18	1330
19	B224-1, B224-2, B224-A, and 3C101171
20	1302
21	1305 and 1449
22	10J-1, 10J-2
23	10н-7
24	8A-15
25	